# Modeling Product Pricing by Considering Consumers' Decisions Based on Utility Maximization

Ling-Chieh Kung\*

In previous lectures, we have investigated a few game-theoretic models about a firm's profit maximization problem. However, most of these models do not have a role played by consumers. In particular, while the firm has a utility function (which is typically her profit function or expected profit function) and acts to maximize her utility function, the sales outcome is directly assumed to be a function of the firm's decision (e.g., a - bp where p is the retail price or  $\mathbb{E}[\min\{q, D\}]$  where q is the inventory level). In this note, we will introduce some models that explicitly models the consumers' decisions based on utility maximization. In each model, we will see that consumers' have their utility functions, and each of them make a decision that maximizes his utility function. Being able to model consumers' decisions will enrich our model and allow us to tackle more research questions.

## 1 The first model

Consider the following monopoly pricing problem (which has been introduced in the first lecture). A seller sells a product to a market. For consumers in the market, their willingness-to-pay  $\theta$  uniformly spread within 0 and 1. Note that this is saying that consumers are *heterogeneous* on their willingness-to-pay for the product. Whenever we have a group of heterogeneous consumers, we call the attribute(s) that differentiates these consumers their *type*. In this example, we call the willingness-to-pay  $\theta$  as a consumers' type. Let p be the retail price chosen by the seller. For the type- $\theta$  consumer, his utility function is

$$u(\theta) = \theta - p$$

if he purchases the product and 0 otherwise. We say that his *reservation price* is 0. Each consumer decides whether to buy the product by considering the product price and his own

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University; lckung@ntu.edu.tw.

willingness-to-pay  $\theta$ . The unit production cost of the product is c. The seller chooses p to maximize her profit.

To solve the seller's problem, we first try to derive the demand function. Given a price p, a consumer buys the product if and only if his willingness-to-pay  $\theta \ge p$ . Therefore, the group of consumers are divided into two *segments*: The *high segment* of consumers whose  $\theta \in [p, 1]$ , and the *low segment* of consumers whose  $\theta \in [0, p)$ . We sometimes call them *high-end consumers* and *low-end consumers*, respectively. The intuition is clear: One buys the product if and only if he sufficiently likes the product. The market segmentation then implies that the demand volume is

$$D(p) = 1 - p_{\rm s}$$

and the seller's profit-maximization problem is

$$\max_{p} (p-c)(1-p).$$

Obviously, the optimal price is  $p^* = \frac{1+c}{2}$ . The equilibrium profit is  $\pi^* = \frac{(1-c)^2}{4}$ .

Note that we are not assuming the demand function is like this or like that; we *derive* the demand function based on our model setting. Also note that the assumption of uniformly distributed willingness-to-pay provides a justification to the widely adopted linear demand setting. Finally, note that the consumer of type p is *indifferent* between buying and not buying. As  $\theta$  is continuous, it does not matter whether we include him in the high-end or low-end segment.

### 2 Exogenous product quality

Sometimes we want to model the impact of product quality. In particular, may we modify the previous model to include a parameter q as the product quality so that the equilibrium price and profit will increase in q?

To do so, let's say the type  $\theta$  is now a consumer's willingness-to-pay for a unit of quality. Therefore, the type- $\theta$  consumer's utility function becomes

$$u(\theta) = \theta q - p$$

if he purchases a product of quality q and 0 otherwise. Note that this setting captures three intuitive features. First, one gets happier when the quality becomes higher. Second, one gets happier when the price becomes lower. Finally, when the quality becomes higher, those of higher  $\theta$  will have a larger increase than those of lower  $\theta$ . After all, those of higher  $\theta$  are willing to pay more for a high quality product, so increasing the product quality has a higher impact on them. Let's assume that q is exogenous, and the seller may choose p to maximize her profit. All other settings are the same.

To solve the seller's problem, again we need to first derive the demand function. Given a price p, again the market will be divided into two segments (why?), and all we need to do is to find the indifferent consumer's type  $\theta$ . In other words, we need to find  $\theta_0$  that satisfies

$$u(\theta_0) = \theta_0 q - p = 0,$$

which implies that  $\theta_0 = \frac{p}{q}$ . All the consumers whose type  $\theta \ge \theta_0$  will buy the product (as their utility of buying the product is nonnegative) while all others will not. Therefore, the high segment is  $\theta \in [\frac{p}{q}, 1]$  while the low segment is  $\theta \in [0, \frac{p}{q}]$ . Therefore, the demand function is

$$D(p) = 1 - \frac{p}{q},$$

and the seller's problem is

$$\max_{p} (p-c) \left(1 - \frac{p}{q}\right).$$

It is still straightforward to solve this problem and obtain the optimal price  $p^* = \frac{q+c}{2}$ . The equilibrium profit is  $\pi^* = \frac{(q-c)^2}{4}$ . Indeed this model represents the desired fact that both  $p^*$  and  $\pi^*$  increases in q.

### 3 Endogenous product quality

What if the product quality q is also a decision variable? In this case, typically there should be some cost to increase q (otherwise the seller should always set q to its highest possible value). For example, the profit function may become

$$(p-C(q))\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right),$$

where the unit production cost c(q) increases in q. Common choices of C(q) include cq (so the cost is linear to quality) and  $cq^2$  (so the cost is convex to quality) for some c > 0. Another choice is to consider a profit function

$$(p-c)\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)-C(q)$$

In this model, C(q) is the one-time R&D cost. In any case, note that the seller's problem's (joint) concavity needs to be verified. Moreover, the problem becomes two-dimensional, i.e. having two decision variables. In general more advanced techniques are needed to solve multi-dimensional constrained problem. Let's ignore this at this moment.

An easier model may be obtained by switching from a continuous-type setting to a binarytype setting. Instead of letting  $\theta$  be uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, let  $\theta$  follows a Bernoulli distribution of two possible values  $\theta_L$  and  $\theta_H$ , where  $\theta_L < \theta_H$  and

$$\Pr(\theta = \theta_L) = \beta = 1 - \Pr(\theta = \theta_H)$$

In other words, we are saying that there are two types of consumers, high-end consumers (of type  $\theta_H$ ) and low-end consumers (of type  $\theta_L$ ), and the proportion of low-end consumers is  $\beta$ . Let's say the unit production cost is  $C(q) = \frac{cq^2}{2}$ . All other settings are the same.

To derive the demand function, note that there are only three possible outcomes: All consumers buy the product, only high-end consumers buy the product, and on consumers buy the product. The seller may adjust p and q to induce one of these to be the equilibrium outcome. As the last option is obviously not good, let's compare the first two strategies.

To induce all consumers to buy the product, we have

$$\max_{p,q} \quad 1 \cdot \left( p - \frac{cq^2}{2} \right)$$
  
s.t.  $\theta_L q - p \ge 0.$ 

The constraint  $\theta_L q - p \ge 0$  ensures that all low-end consumers are willing to buy the product. Obviously, high-end consumers will also buy the product, and thus all consumers will buy the product. The demand is then  $\beta + (1 - \beta) = 1$ . For each consumer, the seller earns  $p - \frac{cq^2}{2}$ . To solve this problem, note that the constraint must be binding at any optimal solution (otherwise the seller may increase p to make herself better off). Therefore, for any optimal solution, we have  $\theta_L q = p$ . If we replace p in the objective function by  $\theta_L q$ , we reduce the problem to

$$\max_{q} \ \theta_L q - \frac{cq^2}{2}$$

The optimal quality level is  $q^{\text{all}} = \frac{\theta_L}{c}$ . The equilibrium profit is  $\pi^{\text{all}} = \frac{\theta_L^2}{2c}$ .

Similarly, to induce only the high-end consumers to buy the product, we have

$$\max_{p,q} (1-\beta) \left( p - \frac{cq^2}{2} \right)$$
  
s.t.  $\theta_H q - p \ge 0.$ 

Again, the constraint must be binding at any optimal solution, and we may reduce the problem to

$$\max_{q} (1-\beta) \left( \theta_{H}q - \frac{cq^2}{2} \right)$$

The optimal quality level is  $q^{\text{high}} = \frac{\theta_H}{c}$ . The equilibrium profit is  $\pi^{\text{high}} = (1 - \beta)\frac{\theta_H^2}{2c}$ . Note that when the sellers decides to serve only high-end consumers, the optimal product quality

gets higher  $(q^{\text{high}} > q^{\text{all}})$ . This is reasonable: As your target consumers are willing to pay more for quality, increasing the quality is a good idea. We may verify that the optimal price is also higher under the high-end-only strategy.

Lastly, we need to compare the two equilibrium profits. Serving all consumers is better than serving only the high segment if and only if

$$\pi^{\mathrm{all}} = \frac{\theta_L^2}{2c} > (1-\beta) \frac{\theta_H^2}{2c} = \pi^{\mathrm{high}},$$

i.e.,  $\frac{\theta_L}{\theta_H} > \sqrt{1-\beta}$ . In other words, what matter are the two willingness-to-pay levels and the relative size of the two groups of consumers. If  $\theta_L$  is quite low compared to  $\theta_H$ , serving the low segment would require the seller to cut down the price by a huge amount. It is then better to serve only the high segment. If  $\beta$  is low, which means that the size of low segment is small, it is also the seller's best interest to serve only the high segment.

#### 4 Two products

Let's ignore endogenous quality and go back to the exogenous quality setting. What if the seller now has two products, each of quality  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ ? Without loss of generality, let's assume that  $q_1 > q_2$ , so products 1 and 2 are the high- and low-quality products, respectively. The unit production costs of products 1 and 2 are  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively. How to price these two products for profit maximization?

Given the prices  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  for products 1 and 2, respectively, each consumer has three options: buying product 1, buying product 2, or buying nothing. By buying product 1, a type- $\theta$  consumer's utility is  $\theta q_1 - p_1$ . He will be willing to buy product 1 (compared to buying nothing) if and only if  $\theta \geq \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ . Similarly, he will be willing to buy product 2 if and only if  $\theta \geq \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ . Now, if a consumer is only willing to buy one of the two products, he will buy it; if he is willing to buy both, he will choose the one that gives him a higher utility. In this case, he prefers product 1 if and only if

$$\theta q_1 - p_1 \ge \theta q_2 - p_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \theta \ge \frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2}$$

Let  $\theta_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ , and  $\bar{\theta} = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2}$ , the relationship among the three cutoff values determines the equilibrium market segmentation.

Suppose that  $\bar{\theta} > \theta_2$ , i.e.,  $p_1q_2 > q_1p_2$ . In this case, the market will be divided into three segments: the high segment  $\theta \in [\frac{p_1-p_2}{q_1-q_2}, 1]$  of consumers who buy product 1, the middle segment  $\theta \in [\frac{p_2}{q_2}, \frac{p_1-p_2}{q_1-q_2}]$  of consumers who buy product 2, and the low segment  $\theta \in [0, \frac{p_2}{q_2}]$  of consumers

who buy nothing. The seller's profit function is

$$(p_1 - c_1)\left(1 - \frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2}\right) + (p_2 - c_2)\left(\frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2} - \frac{p_2}{q_2}\right).$$

On the contrary, if  $\bar{\theta} < \theta_2$ , i.e.,  $p_1 q_2 < q_1 p_2$ , the middle segment degenerates and the market will be divided to only two segments: the high segment  $\theta \in [\frac{p_1}{q_1}, 1]$  of consumers who buy product 1, and the low segment  $\theta \in [0, \frac{p_1}{q_1}]$  of consumers who buy nothing. The seller's profit function is

$$(p_1-c_1)\left(1-\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right).$$

It is the seller's discretion to set  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  to induce either equilibrium. For example, he may set an extremely high  $p_2$  to satisfy  $p_1q_2 < q_1p_2$ . In this case, no one prefers product 2, and we will have the two-segment equilibrium. As the seller's profit function is different under the two market segmentation strategy, to find the seller's optimal prices, we need to solve two subproblems, one for each strategy. In the first subproblem, we solve for  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  under the three-segment equilibrium, i.e, we solve

$$\pi^{\text{three}} = \max_{p_1, p_2} \quad (p_1 - c_1) \left( 1 - \frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2} \right) + (p_2 - c_2) \left( \frac{p_1 - p_2}{q_1 - q_2} - \frac{p_2}{q_2} \right)$$
  
s.t.  $p_1 q_2 \ge q_1 p_2.$ 

In other words, the seller restricts herself by asking "if I want to have three segments, what are the optimal prices?" After  $\pi^{\text{three}}$  is found, the seller should proceed to solve the second subproblem

$$\pi^{\text{two}} = \max_{p_1, p_2} \quad (p_1 - c_1) \left( 1 - \frac{p_1}{q_1} \right)$$
  
s.t.  $p_1 q_2 \le q_1 p_2.$ 

By comparing  $\pi^{\text{three}}$  and  $\pi^{\text{two}}$ , the seller may find her optimal strategy. This is called the *product* line design problem in the marketing literature.

Up to now, if you understand the formulation and the concepts of market segmentation and strategy selection, it is great. Unfortunately, even though the above subproblems are not really complicated, we have not taught you in this course how to analytically solve these multidimensional constrained problems. If you really want to solve them, please study the KKT condition by yourself or discuss with the instructor.