IM 7011: Information Economics

Lecture 11: Moral Hazard Kung and Chen (2011)

Ling-Chieh Kung

Department of Information Management National Taiwan University

November 18, 2013

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011)
LIntroduction

Road map

► Introduction.

- ► Model.
- ► Analysis.
- ▶ Comparisons, extensions, and conclusions.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011)
L Introduction

Manufacturer vs. salespeople

- ► A manufacturer typically sells its products to a reseller.
 - ▶ A reseller may be a retailer, a wholesaler, an importer, or any firm that simply "resell" the product.
- ► A reseller manages **salespeople** to sell the product.
 - ► The better the salespeople's **service** (effort), the higher the sales volume.
- From the manufacturer's perspective, the hidden sales effort creates a moral hazard problem.
- ▶ What's worse is: The **market condition** is hidden information.
 - If we reward a salesperson when he generates a high sales volume, we may be rewarding lucky people!
 - ▶ Risk-averse salespeople will have no incentive to work hard.
- The mixture of adverse selection and moral hazard makes contracting difficult and challenging!

Manufacturer vs. resellers

▶ In a manufacturer-sale sperson relationship, we have hidden market condition and hidden sales effort.

$$M \xrightarrow{AS + MH} S$$

- ▶ It is thus natural to ask:
 - ▶ If the manufacturer can choose to **eliminate** one of them, which one should it choose?
 - What is the benefit of **direct monitoring**?
- ▶ While this question is natural in theory, it is **unrealistic**.
 - ▶ In practice, it is generally impossible/impractical for a manufacturer to monitor the market condition or the sales effort.
 - ▶ It should be the **reseller** that has the potential of doing that.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011)
L Introduction

Knowledgeable and diligent resellers

- ► The manufacturer faces an **indirect monitoring** problem if it has the following two delegation options:
 - ► A "knowledgeable" reseller who can observe the market condition.
 - ► A "diligent" reseller who can observe the sales effort.
- ▶ If the manufacturer can choose to indirectly eliminate one of the two pieces of private information, which one should it choose?
 - ▶ Which of the following two supply chain structures is better?

$$M \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{MH} S$$
$$M \longrightarrow D \xrightarrow{AS} S$$

- ► Actually, does indirect monitoring outperforms no monitoring?
 - Double marginalization may appear!

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011)
LIntroduction

Two levels of contract design

- ▶ The manufacturer need to be able to solve a **bilevel program**.
 - ▶ Programs whose formulations depend on the solution of another program.
- ▶ In this three-layer supply chain:
 - ▶ The manufacturer first offers contracts to the reseller.
 - ▶ The reseller then offers contracts to the salespeople.
 - ▶ The manufacturer must anticipate what the reseller will do!
- ▶ In this paper:
 - There is a **mixture** of adverse selection of moral hazard.
 - ▶ There is a **cascade** of contract design.
- The central question: Hidden information and hidden action, which one to (indirectly) resolve?

Road map

- ► Introduction.
- ► Model.
- ► Analysis.
- ▶ Comparisons, extensions, and conclusions.

Supply chain structure

- ▶ There are a manufacturer (it), a reseller (she), and a salesperson (he).
- ▶ The supply chain is operated in the **make-to-order** (MTO) fashion.
 - ▶ The manufacturer produces after the demand is realized.
 - ▶ We eliminate the inventory decision to focus on the information issue.
- ▶ The unit production cost and unit retail price are normalized to 0 and 1, respectively.
- ▶ The demand volume or sales outcome is

$$x = \theta + a + \epsilon.$$

- $\theta \sim F, f$ is the continuous market condition whose mean is $\mu \equiv \mathbb{E}[\theta]$.
- θ satisfies the increasing failure rate assumption: $H(\theta) \equiv \frac{1-F(\theta)}{f(\theta)}$ is decreasing in θ .
- The sales person incurs a cost $\frac{1}{2}a^2$ for exerting sales effort $a \ge 0$.
- The random noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, \bar{\sigma}^2)$.

Resellers

▶ Both θ and a are observed by the salesperson but cannot be observed by the manufacturer.

- ▶ There are two types of resellers: knowledgeable or diligent.
- ▶ The **knowledgeable** reseller is an expert in demand forecasting.
 - \blacktriangleright She observes θ and thus eliminates adverse selection.

$$\mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_{(\theta)} \stackrel{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{S}_{(\theta,a)}$$

- ▶ The **diligent** reseller is an expert in performance measurement.
 - \blacktriangleright She observes a and thus eliminates moral hazard.

$$\mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{(a)} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{AS}} \mathbf{S}_{(\theta,a)}$$

Risk attitudes

▶ Firms are risk-neutral and individuals are risk-averse.

- ▶ The manufacturer and reseller are **risk-neutral**.
- ▶ The salesperson is **risk-averse**.
- ► The sales person's utility function is $U(z) = -e^{-\rho z}$.
 - z is his net income.
 - $\rho > 0$ is his coefficient of absolute risk aversion.
- ▶ Both the manufacturer and reseller are expected profit maximizers.

Contract Forms

- ▶ For tractibility, we consider only **linear** contracts.
- The reseller offers $\alpha + \beta x$ to the salesperson.
 - α : fixed payment. β : commission rate.
- The manufacturer offers u + vx to the reseller.
 - u: fixed payment. v: commission rate.
- ▶ When necessary, one offers a **menu** of contracts.
- This is an application of the **LEN model**:
 - ▶ linear contract, exponential utility, and normal noise.

Timing

- ▶ If the manufacturer chooses a knowledgeable reseller:
 - The manufacturer offers contract (u, v).
 - The reseller and sales person observe the market condition θ .
 - The reseller offers a contract (α, β) .
 - The sales person determines his sales effort a.
 - The sales outcome x is realized.
- ▶ If the manufacturer chooses a diligent reseller:
 - The manufacturer offers a contract (u, v).
 - The sales person observes the market condition θ .
 - The reseller offers a menu of contract $(\alpha(\theta), \beta(\theta), a(\theta))$.
 - ▶ The salesperson (truthfully) selects a contract and then exerts the specified sales effort.
 - The sales outcome x is realized.

Road map

- Introduction.
- ► Model.
- ► Analysis.
 - Contracting with the knowledgeable reseller.
 - Contracting with the diligent reseller.
- ▶ Comparisons, extensions, and conclusions.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) └─Analysis

Salesperson's problem

- Suppose the sales person has agreed with the contract (α, β) and has observed θ .
- ▶ By choosing effort level *a*, his net income is $\alpha + \beta x \frac{1}{2}a^2$.
- ▶ To maximize his expected utility

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[-e^{-\rho(\alpha+\beta x-\frac{1}{2}a^2)}\Big],$$

it is equivalent to maximize the certainty equivalent

$$CE_S^K(\theta|a) = \alpha + \beta(\theta+a) - \frac{1}{2}a^2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma^2\beta^2.$$

• With the optimizer $a = \beta$, the maximized certainty equivalent is

$$CE_S^K(\theta) = \alpha + \beta\theta + \frac{1}{2}\beta^2(1-\rho\sigma^2).$$

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) └─Analysis

Knowledgeable reseller's problem

- Suppose the knowledgeable reseller has agreed with the contract (u, v) and has observed θ .
- Anticipating the effort level $a = \beta$, the expected sales is

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mathbb{E}[\theta + a + \epsilon] = \theta + \beta.$$

▶ The reseller's expected profit is

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[u-\alpha+(v-\beta)x\Big]=u-\alpha+(v-\beta)(\theta+\beta).$$

▶ The reseller's solves

$$R^{K}(\theta) = \max_{\alpha \text{ urs, } \beta \ge 0} \quad u - \alpha + (v - \beta)(\theta + \beta)$$

s.t. $\alpha + \beta\theta + \frac{1}{2}\beta^{2}(1 - \rho\sigma^{2}) \ge 0$

where the constraint ensures the salesperson's participation.

Knowledgeable reseller's problem

Lemma 1

Given the contract (u, v) and the market condition θ , the knowledgeable reseller optimally offers the commission rate $\beta^{K}(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^{2}}v$, induces the service level $a^{K}(\theta) = \beta^{K}(\theta)$, and generates $R^{K}(\theta) = u + v\theta + \frac{1}{2(1+\rho\sigma^{2})}v^{2}$.

- ► For this pure moral hazard problem, there is a **downward distortion** on the sales effort: $\frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2}v < v$.
- ► $1 + \rho \sigma^2$ is an indicator of how costly it is for the reseller to induce the salesperson to exert efforts.
- ► The commission rate and effort level decreases as the moral hazard problem becomes **more severe**:
 - the salesperson becomes more risk-averse (ρ increases),
 - the sales outcome is more volatile (σ^2 increases), and
 - the offer from the manufacturer is less generous (v decreases).

Manufacturer's problem

- ▶ The manufacturer could potentially design a menu of contracts for the reseller to choose.
- However, because the reseller observes θ after the contract is signed, this is unnecessary and a single contract (u, v) is optimal.
- ► Anticipating the effort $\frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2}v$, the manufacturer's expected profit is

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-v)(\theta+a+\epsilon)-u\Big] = (1-v)\left(\mu+\frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2}v\right)-u.$$

► The manufacturer solves

$$\begin{split} M^K &= \max_{u \text{ urs, } v \geq 0} \quad (1-v) \bigg(\mu + \frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2} v \bigg) - u \\ \text{s.t.} \quad u + v \mu + \frac{1}{2(1+\rho\sigma^2)} v^2 \geq 0, \end{split}$$

where the constraint ensures that the reseller's expected profit (before observing θ) $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[R^{K}(\theta)]$ is nonnegative.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) ∟Analysis

Manufacturer's problem

Lemma 2

When including the knowledgeable reseller, the manufacturer's optimal contract (u^K, v^K) consists of $v^K = 1$ and $u^K = -\mu - \frac{1}{2(1+\rho\sigma^2)}$. The manufacturer's expected payoff is $M^K = \mu + \frac{1}{2(1+\rho\sigma^2)}$ with the induced effort level $a^K = \frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2}$ for all θ .

- ► The manufacturer finds it optimal to sell the business to the reseller (by setting v^K = 1) after charging a fixed payment, which can be interpreted as a franchise fee.
 - ▶ Note that $u^K < 0$: The reseller pays a fee to the manufacturer.
- ▶ This (pure) franchise fee contract allows the manufacturer to bypass the potential effort distortion due to the delegation.
 - Any distortion on the commission rate (with v < 1) distorts the effort.
 - Double marginalization is **avoided**.
- ▶ Inefficiency only comes from the downstream moral hazard.
- ▶ The manufacturer can fully extracts the reseller's surplus. Why?

Road map

- Introduction.
- ► Model.
- ► Analysis.
 - Contracting with the knowledgeable reseller.
 - Contracting with the diligent reseller.
- ▶ Comparisons, extensions, and conclusions.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) ∟Analysis

Salesperson's problem

- ▶ Because the diligent reseller can observe the effort level, the salesperson's effort exertion problem **disappears**.
 - ▶ He must follows what is specified in the contract.
- ▶ Fortunately (?), he is protected by his private market condition.
 - The reseller must offer a **menu** of contract $\{(\alpha(\theta), \beta(\theta), a(\theta))\}$.
- Suppose that the salesperson observes a market condition θ but chooses the contract $(\alpha(\tilde{\theta}), \beta(\tilde{\theta}), a(\tilde{\theta}))$.
- ▶ In this case, he will get $\alpha(\tilde{\theta}) + \beta(\tilde{\theta})(\theta + a(\tilde{\theta}) + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2}[a(\tilde{\theta})]^2$ as his net income and

$$CE_S^D(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) = \alpha(\tilde{\theta}) + \beta(\tilde{\theta})(\theta + a(\tilde{\theta})) - \frac{1}{2}[a(\tilde{\theta})]^2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma^2[\beta(\tilde{\theta})]^2$$

as his certainty equivalent.

Diligent reseller's problem

- ▶ Let $CE_S^D(\theta) \equiv CE_S^D(\theta, \theta)$.
- ▶ The reseller solves

$$\begin{split} R^{D} &= \max_{\substack{\{\alpha(\theta) \text{ urs}, \\ \beta(\theta) \geq 0, \\ a(\theta) \geq 0}} & \mathbb{E} \Big[u - \alpha(\theta) + (v - \beta(\theta))(\theta + a(\theta)) \Big] \\ \text{s.t.} & CE_{S}^{D}(\theta) \geq CE_{S}^{D}(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) \quad \forall \theta, \tilde{\theta} \in (-\infty, \infty) \\ & CE_{S}^{D}(\theta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \theta \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{split}$$

The IC constraint requires truth-telling and the IR constraint guarantees participation.

Diligent reseller's problem

Lemma 3

Given the contract (u, v), the diligent reseller offers $\alpha^D(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}v^2$, $\beta^D(\theta) = 0$, and $a^D(\theta) = v$ and receives $R^D = u + v\mu + \frac{1}{2}v^2$.

- ▶ The diligent reseller should offer **no commission** to the salesperson.
- ▶ By receiving no commission, the risk-averse salesperson can get rid of the **undesirable risks** and be motivated in the most efficient way.
- ▶ The reseller should enforce the salesperson to exert the first-best effort level v and compensate him just the cost $(\frac{1}{2}v^2)$.
- The reseller bears **all the risks**.
- ▶ The hidden market condition does not protect the salesperson!
- ▶ The optimal contract is not a menu. It is a single contract. Why?

Manufacturer's problem

- ▶ When the manufacturer contracts with the reseller, the salesperson has not exerted the sales effort.
 - ▶ There is no information asymmetry in the upper level.
- ▶ Anticipating the downstream equilibrium, the manufacturer solves

$$M^{K} = \max_{\substack{u \text{ urs, } v \ge 0}} (1 - v)(\mu + v) - u$$

s.t. $u + v\mu + \frac{1}{2}v^{2} \ge 0$,

where the expected sales quantity $\mu + v$ comes from $a^D(\theta) = v$ and the constraint ensures the reseller's participation.

24/31

Manufacturer's problem

Lemma 4

When including the diligent reseller, the manufacturer's optimal contract (u^D, v^D) consists of $v^D = 1$ and $u^D = -\mu - \frac{1}{2}$. Under this contract, the manufacturer's maximum expected payoff is $M^D = \mu + \frac{1}{2}$ with the induced service level $a^D = 1$ for all θ .

- ▶ The manufacturer also passes the entire sales revenue to the diligent reseller (v = 1) in order to bypass the double marginalization problem.
- ▶ This "selling-the-business" strategy therefore motivates the reseller to enforce the efficient effort level $(a^D = 1)$ for the whole supply chain.
- ▶ The supply chain is **efficient** due to the diligent reseller's monitoring.
- ▶ The manufacturer extracts the entire surplus from the reseller by the appropriately designed fixed payment.

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) └─ Comparisons

Road map

- ▶ Introduction.
- ► Model.
- ► Analysis.
- ► Comparisons, extensions, and conclusions.

Comparisons

▶ We are now ready to answer our main research question: Knowledgeable or diligent reseller, which one to choose?

Proposition 1

The manufacturer can induce a higher effort and receive a higher expected profit by contracting with the diligent reseller than with the knowledgeable reseller.

Proof. According to the analysis, we have

$$a^K(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2} < 1 = a^D(\theta)$$

and

$$M^{K} = \mu + \frac{1}{2(1 + \rho\sigma^{2})} < \mu + \frac{1}{2} = M^{D}$$

for every realization of θ .

(11) Moral Hazard: Kung and Chen (2011) └─Comparisons

Comparisons: reseller vs. salesperson

▶ In the lower level, the **diligent** reseller's monitoring is more effective.

- ▶ The knowledgeable reseller eliminates adverse selection.
- ▶ The diligent reseller eliminates moral hazard.
- ► The pure moral hazard problem (faced by the knowledgeable one) results in a distortion $(a^K = \frac{1}{1+\rho\sigma^2}v)$ but the pure adverse selection problem (faced by the diligent reseller) results in no distortion $(a^D = v)$.

▶ Why is the diligent one more effective?

- ► The diligent reseller can observe the sales effort and compensate the risk-averse salesperson according to his effort instead of performance $(\beta^D = 0)$.
- ► She is able to exclude uncertainty in the salesperson's payoff. This is effective for the **risk-averse** salesperson.
- Even if the knowledgeable reseller observes the market condition, the commission still exposes the salesperson to the undesirable risk.
- Consequently, the induced effort level will be distorted downwards.

Comparisons: manufacturer vs. reseller

- ▶ But including a stronger partner is not always more beneficial.
 - Double marginalization may arise in the upper level, especially when the new player is strong.
- ▶ Fortunately, double marginalization can be **avoided** in the upper level.
- ▶ The manufacturer sells the business to the reseller $(v^K = v^D = 1)$.
 - The reseller has no private information.
 - ▶ The reseller is also risk-neutral.
 - ▶ The reseller can pay any amount of franchise fee.
- ▶ The story may become different when any of the above three assumptions is removed.

Comparisons: direct sales vs. direct sales

▶ Is including a reseller beneficial?

Proposition 2

Indirect sales with either types of resellers is more profitable than direct sales.

- ► Why?
 - ▶ Double marginalization can be eliminated in our basic setting.
 - ▶ In this case, indirect monitoring is **equivalent** to direct monitoring.
 - ▶ Therefore, indirect monitoring is beneficial.
- ▶ Again, if the three assumptions are not valid, direct sales may outperform indirect sales.

Extensions

- ▶ Frictions under which double marginalization can still be avoided:
 - Multiplicative sales outcome: $x = \theta a + \epsilon$.
 - General effort costs: $\frac{1}{2k}a^2$.
 - Different timing: The market condition θ is observed before the manufacturer designs the contract.
 - ▶ Different contract form: Allowing the knowledgeable reseller to offer a menu or restricting the diligent reseller to offer a single contract.
- ▶ Frictions under which double marginalization arises:
 - ▶ The reseller's monitoring expertise is hidden.
 - ▶ The reseller's utility function is strictly concave.
 - ▶ The reseller cannot pay too much to the manufacturer.

Conclusions

- ▶ A problem with **both** adverse selection and moral hazard is studied.
- ▶ The impact of **indirect monitoring** is shown to be positive.
 - ▶ A three-layer supply chain is constructed and a cascade of contract design is analyzed.
- ▶ In our context, monitoring the salesperson (eliminating moral hazard) is more effective than monitoring the market (eliminating adverse selection).
- ▶ There are other kinds of mixture of adverse selection and moral hazard. It is not always unambiguously better to eliminate moral hazard!