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## Road map

- Introduction.
- Least square approximation
- Model validation.
- Variable transformation and selection.


## Correlation and prediction

- We often try to find correlation among variables.
- For example, prices and sizes of houses:

| House | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 75 | 59 | 85 | 65 | 72 | 46 |
| Price $(\$ 1000)$ | 315 | 229 | 355 | 261 | 234 | 216 |
| House | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 107 | 91 | 75 | 65 | 88 | 59 |
| Price $(\$ 1000)$ | 308 | 306 | 289 | 204 | 265 | 195 |

- We may calculate their correlation coefficient as $r=0.729$.
- Now given a house whose size is $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, may we predict its price?


## Correlation among more than two variables

- Sometimes we have more than two variables:
- For example, we may also know the number of bedrooms in each house:

| House | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 75 | 59 | 85 | 65 | 72 | 46 |
| Price $(\$ 1000)$ | 315 | 229 | 355 | 261 | 234 | 216 |
| Bedroom | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| House | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 107 | 91 | 75 | 65 | 88 | 59 |
| Price $(\$ 1000)$ | 308 | 306 | 289 | 204 | 265 | 195 |
| Bedroom | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

- How to summarize the correlation among the three variables?
- How to predict house price based on size and number of bedrooms?


## Regression analysis

- Regression is the solution!
- As one of the most widely used tools in Statistics, it discovers:
- Which variables affect a given variable.
- How they affect the target.
- In general, we will predict/estimate one dependent variable by one or multiple independent variables.
- Independent variables: Potential factors that may affect the outcome.
- Dependent variable: The outcome.
- Independent variables are explanatory variables; the dependent variable is the response variable.
- As another example, suppose we want to predict the number of arrival consumers for tomorrow:
- Dependent variable: Number of arrival consumers.
- Independent variables: Weather, holiday or not, promotion or not, etc.


## Regression analysis

- There are multiple types of regression analysis.
- Based on the number of independent variables:
- Simple regression: One independent variable.
- Multiple regression: More than one independent variables.
- Independent variables may be quantitative or qualitative.
- In this lecture, we introduce the way of including quantitative independent variables. Qualitative independent variables will be introduced in a future lecture.
- We only talk about ordinary regression, which has a quantitative dependent variable.
- If the dependent variable is qualitative, advanced techniques (e.g., logistic regression) are required.
- Make sure that your dependent variable is quantitative!


## Road map

- Introduction.
- Least square approximation.
- Model validation.
- Variable transformation and selection.


## Basic principle

- Consider the price-size relationship again. In the sequel, let $x_{i}$ be the size and $y_{i}$ be the price of house $i, i=1, \ldots, 12$.

Sizes and prices of houses

| Size <br> (in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | Price <br> (in $\$ 1000)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 216 |
| 59 | 229 |
| 59 | 195 |
| 65 | 261 |
| 65 | 204 |
| 72 | 234 |
| 75 | 315 |
| 75 | 289 |
| 85 | 355 |
| 88 | 265 |
| 91 | 306 |
| 107 | 308 |



- How to relate sizes and prices "in the best way?"


## Linear estimation

- If we believe that the relationship between the two variables is linear, we will assume that

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\epsilon_{i} .
$$

- $\beta_{0}$ is the intercept of the equation.
- $\beta_{1}$ is the slope of the equation.
- $\epsilon_{i}$ is the random noise for estimating record $i$.
- Somehow there is such a formula, but we do not know $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.
- $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are the parameter of the population.
- We want to use our sample data (e.g., the information of the twelve houses) to estimate $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.
- We want to form two statistics $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ as our estimates of $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.


## Linear estimation

- Given the values of $\hat{\beta}_{0}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}$, we will use $\hat{y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}$ as our estimate of $y_{i}$.
- Then we have

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}+\epsilon_{i},
$$

where $\epsilon_{i}$ is now interpreted as the estimation error.

- For example, if we choose $\hat{\beta}_{0}=100$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}=2$, we have

| $x_{i}$ | 46 | 59 | 59 | 65 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 85 | 88 | 91 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y_{i}$ | 216 | 229 | 195 | 261 | 204 | 234 | 315 | 289 | 355 | 265 | 306 |
| $100+2 x_{i}$ | 192 | 218 | 218 | 230 | 230 | 244 | 250 | 250 | 270 | 276 | 282 |
| $\epsilon_{i}$ | 24 | 11 | -23 | 31 | -26 | -10 | 65 | 39 | 85 | -11 | 24 |

- $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are given.
- $100+2 x_{i}$ is calculated from $x_{i}$ and our assumed $\hat{\beta}_{0}=100$ and $\hat{\beta}_{1}=2$.
- The estimation error $\epsilon_{i}$ is calculated as $y_{i}-\left(100+2 x_{i}\right)$.


## Linear estimation

- Graphically, we are using a straight line to "pass through" those points:

$$
y=100+2 x
$$



| $x_{i}$ | 46 | 59 | 59 | 65 | 65 | 72 | 75 | 75 | 85 | 88 | 91 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y_{i}$ | 216 | 229 | 195 | 261 | 204 | 234 | 315 | 289 | 355 | 265 | 306 |
| 308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $100+2 x_{i}$ | 192 | 218 | 218 | 230 | 230 | 244 | 250 | 250 | 270 | 276 | 282 |
| $\epsilon_{i}$ | 24 | 11 | -23 | 31 | -26 | -10 | 65 | 39 | 85 | -11 | 24 |

## Better estimation

- Is $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=(100,2)$ good? How about $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=(100,2.4)$ ?

- We need a way to define the "best" estimation!


## Least square approximation

- $\hat{y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}$ is our estimate of $y_{i}$.
- We hope $\epsilon_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}$ to be as small as possible.
- For all data points, let's minimize the sum of squared errors (SSE):

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}^{2}=\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(y_{i}-\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}\right.
$$

- The solution of

$$
\min _{\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(y_{i}-\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}\right.
$$

is our least square approximation (estimation) of the given data.

## Least square approximation

- For $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=(100,2), \mathrm{SSE}=16667$.

| $x_{i}$ | 46 | 59 | 59 | $\cdots$ | 91 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{i}$ | 216 | 229 | 195 | $\cdots$ | 306 | 308 |
| $\hat{y}_{i}$ | 192 | 218 | 218 | $\cdots$ | 282 | 314 |
| $\epsilon_{i}^{2}$ | 576 | 121 | 529 | $\cdots$ | 576 | 36 |

- For $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=(100,2.4), \mathrm{SSE}=15172.76$. Better!

| $x_{i}$ | 46 | 59 | 59 | $\cdots$ | 91 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{i}$ | 216 | 229 | 195 | $\cdots$ | 306 | 308 |
| $\hat{y}_{i}$ | 210.4 | 241.6 | 241.6 | $\cdots$ | 318.4 | 356.8 |
| $\epsilon_{i}^{2}$ | 31.36 | 158.76 | 2171.56 | $\cdots$ | 153.76 | 2381.44 |

- What are the values of the best $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$ ?


## Least square approximation

- The least square approximation problem

$$
\min _{\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(y_{i}-\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}\right.
$$

has a closed-form formula for the best ( $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}$ ):

$$
\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{x} .
$$

- We do not care about the formula.
- To calculate the least square coefficients, we use statistical software.
- For our house example, we will get $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=(102.717,2.192)$.
- Its SSE is 13118.63.
- We will never know the true values of $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$. However, according to our sample data, the best (least square) estimate is (102.717, 2.192).
- We tend to believe that $\beta_{0}=102.717$ and $\beta_{1}=2.192$.


## Interpretations

- Our regression model is

$$
y=102.717+2.192 x
$$

- Interpretation: When the house size increases by $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, the price is expected to increase by $\$ 2,192$.
- (Bad) interpretation: For a house whose size is $0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, the price is expected to be $\$ 102,717$.



## Linear multiple regression

- In most cases, more than one independent variable may be used to explain the outcome of the dependent variable.
- For example, consider the number of bedrooms.
- We may take both variables as independent variables to do linear multiple regression:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1, i}+\beta_{2} x_{2, i}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

- $y_{i}$ is the house price (in $\$ 1000$ ).
- $x_{1, i}$ is the house size (in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).
- $x_{2, i}$ is the number of bedrooms.
- $\epsilon_{i}$ is the random noise.
- Our (least square) estimate is $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2}\right)=(82.737,2.854,-15.789)$.

| Price <br> (in $\$ 1000)$ | Size <br> $\left(\right.$ in $^{2}$ ) | Bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 315 | 75 | 1 |
| 229 | 59 | 1 |
| 355 | 85 | 2 |
| 261 | 65 | 2 |
| 234 | 72 | 2 |
| 216 | 46 | 1 |
| 308 | 107 | 3 |
| 306 | 91 | 3 |
| 289 | 75 | 2 |
| 204 | 65 | 1 |
| 265 | 88 | 3 |
| 195 | 59 | 1 |

## Interpretations

- Our regression model is

$$
y=82.737+2.854 x_{1}-15.789 x_{2}
$$

- When the house size increases by $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (and all other independent variables are fixed), we expect the price to increase by $\$ 2,854$.
- When there is one more bedroom (and all other independent variables are fixed), we expect the price to decrease by $\$ 15,789$.
- One must interpret the results and determine whether the result is meaningful by herself/himself.
- The number of bedrooms may not be a good indicator of house price.
- At least not in a linear way.
- We need more than finding coefficients:
- We need to judge the overall quality of a given regression model.
- We may want to compare multiple regression models.
- We must test the significance of regression coefficients.


## Road map

- Introduction.
- Least square approximation.
- Model validation.
- Variable transformation and selection.


## Estimation with no model

- For the price-size regression model

$$
y=102.717+2.192 x
$$

how good is it?

- In general, for a given regression model

$$
y=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1}+\cdots \hat{\beta}_{k} x_{k},
$$

how to evaluate its overall quality?

- Suppose that we do not do regression. Instead, we (very naively) estimate $y_{i}$ by $\bar{y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} y_{i}}{12}$, the average of $y_{i} \mathrm{~s}$.
- We cannot do worse than that; it can be done without a model.
- How much does our regression model do better than it?


## SSE, SST, and $R^{2}$

- Without a model, the sum of squared total errors (SST) is

$$
S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} .
$$

- With out regression model, the sum of squared errors (SSE) is

$$
S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(y_{i}-\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}\right)\right]^{2} .\right.
$$

- The proportion of total variability that is explained by the regression model is ${ }^{1}$

$$
R^{2}=1-\frac{S S E}{S S T} .
$$

The larger $R^{2}$, the better the regression model.
${ }^{1}$ Note that $0 \leq R^{2} \leq 1$. Why?

## Obtaining $R^{2}$ in R

- Whenever we find the estimated coefficients, we have $R^{2}$.
- Statistical software includes $R^{2}$ in the regression report.
- For the regression model $y=102.717+2.192 x$, we have $R^{2}=0.5315$ :
- Around $53 \%$ of a house price is determined by its house size.
- If (and only if) there is only one independent variable, then $R^{2}=r^{2}$, where $r$ is the correlation coefficient between the dependent and independent variables.
- $-1 \leq r \leq 1$.
- $0 \leq r^{2}=R^{2} \leq 1$.


## Comparing regression models

- Now we have a way to compare regression models.
- For our example:

|  | Size only | Bedroom only | Size and bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.5315 | 0.29 | 0.5513 |

- Using prices only is better than using numbers of bedrooms only.
- Is using prices and bedrooms better?
- In general, adding more variables always increases $R^{2}$ !
- In the worst case, we may set the corresponding coefficients to 0 .
- Some variables may actually be meaningless.
- To perform a "fair" comparison and identify those meaningful factors, we need to adjust $R^{2}$ based on the number of independent variables.


## Adjusted $R^{2}$

- The standard way to adjust $R^{2}$ to adjusted $R^{2}$ is

$$
R_{\mathrm{adj}}^{2}=1-\left(\frac{n-1}{n-k-1}\right)\left(1-R^{2}\right) .
$$

- $n$ is the sample size and $k$ is the number of independent variables used.
- For our example:

|  | Size only | Bedroom only | Size and bedroom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.5315 | 0.290 | 0.5513 |
| $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}$ | 0.4846 | 0.219 | 0.4516 |

- Actually using sizes only results in the best model!


## Testing coefficient significance

- Another important task for validating a regression model is to test the significance of each coefficient.
- Recall our model with two independent variables

$$
y=82.737+2.854 x_{1}-15.789 x_{2} .
$$

- Note that 2.854 and -15.789 are solely calculated based on the sample. We never know whether $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are really these two values!
- In fact, we cannot even be sure that $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are not 0 . We need to test them:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{i}=0 \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}: \beta_{i} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- We look for a strong enough evidence showing that $\beta_{i} \neq 0$.


## Testing coefficient significance by $R$

- The testing results are provided in regression reports.
- Statistical software tells us:

|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $p$-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 82.737 | 59.873 | 1.382 | 0.200 |  |
| Size | 2.854 | 1.247 | 2.289 | 0.048 | $* *$ |
| Bedroom | -15.789 | 25.056 | -0.630 | 0.544 |  |

- These $p$-values have been multiplied by 2 in a typical report. Simply compare them with $\alpha$ !
- At a $95 \%$ confidence level, we believe that $\beta_{1} \neq 0$. House size really has some impact on house price.
- At a $95 \%$ confidence level, we have no evidence for $\beta_{2} \neq 0$. We cannot conclude that the number of bedrooms has an impact on house price.
- If we use only size as an independent variable, its $p$-value will be 0.00714 . We will be quite confident that it has an impact.


## Road map

- Introduction.
- Least square approximation.
- Model validation.
- Variable transformation and selection.


## House age

- The age of a house may also affect its price.

| Price <br> (in $\$ 1000$ ) | Size <br> $\left(\right.$ in $\left.^{2}\right)$ | Bedroom | Age <br> (in years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 315 | 75 | 1 | 16 |
| 229 | 59 | 1 | 20 |
| 355 | 85 | 2 | 16 |
| 261 | 65 | 2 | 15 |
| 234 | 72 | 2 | 21 |
| 216 | 46 | 1 | 16 |
| 308 | 107 | 3 | 15 |
| 306 | 91 | 3 | 15 |
| 289 | 75 | 2 | 14 |
| 204 | 65 | 1 | 21 |
| 265 | 88 | 3 | 15 |
| 195 | 59 | 1 | 26 |



- Let's add age as an independent variable in explaining house prices.
- Because the number of bedroom seems to be unhelpful, let's ignore it.


## House age

- For house $i$, let $y_{i}$ be its price, $x_{1, i}$ be its size, and $x_{3, i}$ be its age. We assume the following linear relationship:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1, i}+\beta_{2} x_{3, i}+\epsilon_{i} .
$$

- Software gives us the following regression report:

|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $p$-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Intercept | 262.882 | 83.632 | 3.143 | 0.012 |  |
| Size | 1.533 | 0.628 | 2.443 | 0.037 | $* *$ |
| Age | -6.368 | 2.881 | -2.211 | 0.054 | $*$ |
| $R^{2}=0.696, R_{\text {adj }}^{2}=0.629$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- $R^{2}$ goes up from 0.485 (size only) to 0.629 . Age is significant at a $10 \%$ significance level. Seems good!


## Nonlinear relationship

- May we do better?
- By looking at the age-price scatter plot (and our intuition), maybe the impact of age on price is nonlinear:
- A new house's value depreciates fast.
- The value depreciates slowly when the house is old.
- At least this is true for a car.
- It is worthwhile to try a capture this nonlinear relationship.
- For example, we may try to replace house age by its reciprocal:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1, i}+\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{3, i}}\right)+\epsilon_{i}
$$

## Variable transformation

- To fit

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1, i}+\beta_{2}\left(\frac{1}{x_{3, i}}\right)+\epsilon_{i}
$$

to our sample data:

- Prepare a new column as $\frac{1}{\text { age }}$.
- Input these three columns to software.
- Read the report.
- We may consider any kind of nonlinear relationship.
- This technique is called variable transformation.

| Price <br> (in $\$ 1000$ ) | Size <br> $\left(\right.$ in $^{2}$ ) | 1/Age <br> (in 1/years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 315 | 75 | 0.063 |
| 229 | 59 | 0.05 |
| 355 | 85 | 0.063 |
| 261 | 65 | 0.067 |
| 234 | 72 | 0.048 |
| 216 | 46 | 0.063 |
| 308 | 107 | 0.067 |
| 306 | 91 | 0.067 |
| 289 | 75 | 0.071 |
| 204 | 65 | 0.048 |
| 265 | 88 | 0.067 |
| 195 | 59 | 0.038 |

## The reciprocal of house age

- Software gives us the following regression report:

|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $p$-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Intercept | 22.905 | 57.154 | 0.401 | 0.698 |  |
| Size | 1.524 | 0.647 | 2.356 | 0.043 | $* *$ |
| $1 /$ Age | 2185.575 | 1044.497 | 2.092 | 0.066 | $*$ |
| $R^{2}=0.685$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- Validation:
- Variables are both significant (at different significance level).
- Using size and $\frac{1}{\text { age }}: R^{2}=0.685$ and $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}=0.615$.
- Using size and age: $R^{2}=0.696$ and $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}=0.629$.
- Using size and age better explains house price (at least for the given sample data).
- The intuition that house value depreciates at different speeds is not supported by the data.


## A quadratic term

- There are many possible ways to transform a given variable.
- For example, a popular way to model a nonlinear relationship is to include a quadratic term:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1, i}+\beta_{2} x_{3, i}+\beta_{3} x_{3, i}^{2}+\epsilon_{i} .
$$

- Software gives us the following regression report:

|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $p$-value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 250.746 | 324.022 | 0.774 | 0.461 |  |
| Size | 1.537 | 0.675 | 2.278 | 0.052 | $*$ |
| Age | -5.113 | 32.376 | -0.158 | 0.878 |  |
| Age $^{2}$ | -0.032 | 0.818 | -0.039 | 0.970 |  |
|  | $R^{2}=0.696, R_{\text {adj }}^{2}=0.583$ |  |  |  |  |

- Not a good idea for this data set.


## Typical ways of variable transformation



## Variable selection and model building

- In general, we may have a lot of candidate independent variables.
- Size, number of bedrooms, age, distance to a park, distance to a hospital, safety in the neighborhood, etc.
- If we consider only linear relationships, for $p$ candidate independent variables, we have $2^{p}-1$ combinations.
- For each variable, we have many ways to transform it.
- In the next lecture, we will introduce the way of modeling interaction among independent variables.
- How to find the "best" regression model (if there is one)?


## Variable selection and model building

- There is no "best" model; there are "good" models.
- Some general suggestions:
- Take each independent variable one at a time and observe the relationship between it and the dependent variable. A scatter plot helps. Use this to consider variable transformation.
- For each pair of independent variables, check their relationship. If two are highly correlated, quite likely one is not needed.
- Once a model is built, check the $p$-values. You may want to remove insignificant variables (but removing a variable may change the significance of other variables).
- Go back and forth to try various combinations. Stop when a good enough one (with high $R^{2}$ and $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}$ and small $p$-values) is found.
- Software can somewhat automate the process, but its power is limited (e.g., it cannot decide transformation).
- We may need to find new independent variables.
- Intuitions and experiences may help (or hurt).


## Summary

- With a regression model, we try to identify how independent variables affect the dependent variable.
- For a regression model, we adopt the least square criterion for estimating the coefficients.
- Model validation:
- The overall quality of a regression model is decided by its $R^{2}$ and $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}$.
- We may test the significance of independent variables by their $p$-values.
- Modeling building:
- Variable transformation.
- Variable selection.
- More topics to introduce:
- How to deal with qualitative independent variables.
- How to model interaction among independent variables.
- How to avoid the endogeneity problem.
- How to apply residual analysis to further validate the model.

