# IP Security - PartIII

#### Professor Yeali S. Sun Information Management Department

National Taiwan University

### Key Management

### Key Management

- Goal
  - To determine and distribute secret <u>keys</u> for such as IPsec Encryption and Authentication.
  - Need mechanisms for communicating peers to agree on algorithms, key sizes, and other minutiae (small details).
- Typical scenario
  - Four keys: Transmit and receive pairs of keys for both AH and ESP between two communicating applications.

### Two types of key management

#### Manual

- System administrator manually configures each system with its own keys and the keys of the other party
- For small, static environments
- Automated
  - An automated system provides <u>on-demand</u> <u>creation of keys</u> for SAs
  - For large, dynamic environments

### The Internet Key Exchange (IKE)

#### RFC2409 D. Harkins and D. Carrel November 1998 Standards Track



ISAKMP – framework
Oakley – key exchange protocol
SKEME – key exchange protocol

#### ISAKMP

- Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol
- A framework for peer <u>authentication</u> and <u>key</u> <u>exchange</u>
- Define a set of message types to
  - **enable** the use of a variety of key exchange algorithm; and
  - allow **negotiation** of security attributes

between communicating parties.

The default automated key management protocol.

### Oakley

- A key exchange protocol
- Enabling two users to exchange a key securely.
- Based on Diffie-Hellman algorithm with added security.
- Mandated for use with the initial version of ISAKMP

#### SKEME (Secure Key Exchange MEchanism protocol)

A versatile key exchange technique which provides anonymity, repudiability, and quick key refreshment.

# Internet Key Exchange (IKE)

- IKE is a protocol using *part of* Oakley and *part of* SKEME in conjunction with ISAKMP.
- The goal is to obtain authenticated keying material for use with ISAKMP, and for other security associations such as AH and ESP for the IETF IPsec DOI.



#### Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol (1/6)

- It allows two parties to agree on a <u>shared</u> value <u>without</u> requiring encryption.
- Users A and B
- In priori agreement on two global parameters: q and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 
  - q: a large prime number
  - α: a primitive root of q
- Primitive root
  - If  $\alpha$  is the primitive root of a very large prime number q, then the following numbers are distinct

#### $\alpha \mod q, \alpha^2 \mod q, ..., \alpha^{q-1} \mod q$

For any integer b, one can find a *unique* exponent i such that

 $b = \alpha^i \mod q$  where  $0 \le i \le (q-1)$ 

#### Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol (2/6)

#### Procedure

- User A selects a random integer  $X_A$  as its private key and sends User B its public key  $Y_A$  (=  $\alpha^{XA}$ )
- User B selects a random integer  $X_{\underline{B}}$  as its private key and sends User A its public key  $Y_{\underline{B}}$  (=  $\alpha^{XB}$ )
- Compute the <u>secret session key</u>.

#### Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol (3/6)

#### **Global Public Element**

- **q** prime number
- $\alpha$   $\alpha$  < q and  $\alpha$  is a primitive
- root of q



or by any means without the prior written permission of the author.

Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol: Algorithm (4/6)

•  $\mathbf{K} = (Y_R)^{X_A} \mod q$  $= (\alpha X_B \mod q) X_A \mod q$  $= (\alpha^{X_B})^{X_A} \mod q$  $= \alpha x_{BX_A} \mod q$  $= (\alpha^{X_A})^{X_B} \mod q$  $= (\alpha X_A \mod q) X_B \mod q$  $=(Y_A)^{X_B} \mod q$ 

#### Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol: Advantages (5/6)

- Secret keys are created only when needed.
- No need to store secret keys for a long period of time.
- <u>No</u> need to transfer secret keys over the Internet
- Authentication (secret keys are generated using the secret key of the public key's true owner)

#### Diffie-Hellman Key Determination Protocol: Weakness (6/6)

- It does NOT provide information about the *identities* of the parties.
- Subject to a man-in-the-middle attack



# Oakley: features (1/9)

- Add *authentication* to the Diffie-Hellman exchange
  - to prevent man-in-the-middle attacks.
- Cookies
  - anti-clogging tokens to prevent denial of service (clogging) attack
  - to protect computing resources from attack without spending excessive CPU resources to determine its authenticity.

# Oakley: features (2/9)

- Support the use of different <u>groups</u> for the Diffie-Hellman key exchange.
  - Allow two parties to negotiate global parameters of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange (i.e., q and α) and the identity of the algorithm.
  - Three distinct group representations are defined
    - modular exponentiation groups (α=2, 768-bit modulus, 1024-bit modulus, etc.)
    - elliptic curve groups over 2<sup>155</sup>
    - elliptic curve groups over 2<sup>185</sup>

# Oakley: features (3/9)

For each representation, many distinct realizations are possible, depending on parameter selection.

#### Nonces

To ensure against reply attacks

# Oakley: Clogging (Denial of Service) Attack (4/9)

- Bad guy C forges the source address of a legitimate User
   B and sends a public key to the victim A.
- The victim A computes secret key. (no authentication)
- Repeated messages of this type can clog the victim's system.



### Oakley: cookies for anticlogging tokens (5/9)

The concept of cookie

- Cookies provide a weak form of source address identification for the two communicating parties.
- They do cookie exchange before to perform the computationally expensive part of the protocol (large integer exponentiations).
- Each party sends a *pseudorandom number* cookie in the initial message which the other side acknowledges.
- This acknowledgment is repeated in the *first* message of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange.
- If the source address is forged, the opponent gets no answer.

### Oakley: Cookies Requirements (6/9)

- The cookie *must* depend on the specific parties (a weak form of authentication).
- The issuing entity will use **local secret info** in the generation and subsequent verification of a cookie.
- Fast cookie generation and verification to prevent attacks sabotaging processor resources.
  - e.g., use a fast hash (e.g., MD5) over the IP src/dst addr., the UDP src/dst ports and a locally generated secret value.
- Cookies are 64-bit pseudo-random numbers.
  - The generation method must ensure with high probability that the numbers used for each IP remote address are unique over some time period, such as one hour.

### Oakley: Cookie Requirements (7/9)

#### Protection

- To <u>prevent</u> attacks that obtain a cookie using an real IP address and UDP port to swamp the victim with requests from randomly chosen IP addresses or ports.
- It is impossible for anyone other than the issuing party to generate cookies that will be accepted by the entity.
- Note that absolute protection against denial of service is *impossible*, but this anti-clogging token provides a technique for making it easier to handle.

#### Oakley: Authentication Methods (8/9)

Three are specified

- Digital signatures
  - Generate *a mutual hash* over some parameters, e.g., user IDs and nonces.
  - Each party *encrypts the hash with its private key*.
  - The receiving party authenticates the sender using *sender's public key decryption*.
- Public-key encryption
  - A sending party encrypts information such as IDs and nonces with its private key.
  - The receiving party authenticates the sender using its public key decryption.

#### Symmetric-key encryption

• A key is derived from some out-of-band mechanism to authenticate both by symmetric encryption of exchange parameters.

### Oakley: Aggressive Key Exchange (9/9)



Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP)

> RFC 2408 November 1998 Standards Track

### ISAKMP: Goals

- Defines procedures and packet formats to establish, negotiate, modify and delete Security Associations (SA).
- At the establishment phase, payloads are defined to exchange key generation and authentication data.



### IPsec Architecture





The <u>establishment</u> of a secure communication channel between two parties consists of two phases:

Link Layer Protocol

IKE

SA

Connection protected by SA

Socket Layer

Transport Protocol

IP with IPSec

daemon

AP

- Phase 1. Establish an ISAKMP SA.
- Phase 2. Establish actual IPsec SA.
- Initiator and Responder



#### ISAKMP: Two Phases of negotiation

AP

IKE

daemon

Socket Layer

Transport Protocol

**IP** with **IPSec** 

Link Layer Protocol

SA

#### Phase I: Goals

- Negotiate ISAKMP SA parameters
- Establish a *shared secret* for Phase II.
- Authenticate identities of servers/hosts.

#### Phase II: Goals

Establish IPsec SA

negotiating SA

sharing SA

Connection protected by SA

Host - A

 Authenticate identities of <u>users</u> or <u>application</u> <u>processes</u>.

IKE

daemon

SA

Host -

Socket Layer

Transport Protocol

**IP** with **IPSec** 

Link Layer Protocol

AP

### ISAKMP: Header (1/6)

- An ISAKMP message consists of an ISAKMP header followed by one or more payloads.
- **Initiator cookie** (8 octets)
- Responder cookie (8 octets)
- Two cookie fields are used to identify an SA

Initiator Cookie				
Responder Cookie				
Next Payload	Major Version	Minor Version	Exchange Type	Flags
Message ID				
Length				

#### ISAKMP: Header

#### (2/6)

Initiator Cookie				
Responder Cookie				
Next Payload	Major J Version V	Minor Version	Exchange Type	Flags
Message ID				
Length				

- Next payload (1 octet)
  - Indicates the type of the first payload in the message (value 0 means the last).
- Major Version (4 bits)
  - Indicates the major version of the ISAKMP protocol in use.
- Minor version (4 bits)
  - Indicates the minor version
- Exchange type (1 octet)
  - Indicates the message and payload ordering in the ISAKMP exchanges.
- Flags (1 octet)
  - Indicates specific options set for the ISAKMP exchange.

#### ISAKMP: Next Payload Types (3/6)

- None (0)
- Security Association
   (SA) (1)
- Proposal (P) (2)
- Transform (T) (3)
- Key Exchange (KE) (4)
- Identification (ID) (5)
- Certificate (CERT) (6)
- Certificate Request (CR)
   (7)

- Hash (HASH) (8)
- Signature (SIG) (9)
- Nonce (NONCE) (10)
- Notification (N) (11)
- Delete (D) (12)
- Vendor ID (VID) (13)
- RESERVED (14-127)Private USE (128-255)

### ISAKMP: Exchange Types (4/6)

- None (0)
- Base (1)
- Identity Protection (2)
- Authentication Only(3)
- Aggressive (4)
- Informational (5)

- ISAKMP Future Use (6-31)
- DOI Specific Use (32-239)
- Private Use (240-255)



#### ISAKMP: Header

#### (5/6)

Initiator Cookie				
Responder Cookie				
Next Payload	Major Minor Version Version	Exchange Type	Flags	
Message ID				
Length				

- Flags (8 bits)
  - Encryption bit (0 the least significant bit)
    - 1: all payloads following the header are encrypted
    - 0: payload is not encrypted.
  - Commit bit (1)
    - signal key exchange synchronization.
    - to ensure the encrypted material is not received prior to completion of the SA establishment.
    - can be set at anytime by either party.
    - The value must be reset after the Phase 1 negotiation.
  - Authentication Only bit (2)
    - allow the transmission of information with integrity check but no encryption. (e.g., "emergency mode").
  - The remaining bits are set to 0 prior to transmission.

### ISAKMP Header:

(6/6)

Initiator Cookie				
Responder Cookie				
Next Payload	Major Version	Minor Version	Exchange Type	Flags
Message ID				
Length				

- Message ID (4 octets)
  - Unique message identifier
  - During phase I, the value is set to 0.
  - The value is randomly generated by the **initiator** for phase II negotiation.
  - Length (4 octets)
    - The length of total message (header + payloads) in octets.

#### ISAKMP payload: generic payload header (1/2)

- Each ISAKMP
   payload has a generic
   payload <u>header</u> plus a
   number of data
   attributes.
- Next Payload (1 octet)
  - Identifier for the payload type of the next payload in the message.
  - If the last, the field is set to 0.
- RSERVED (1 octet)
  - Unused; set to 0.
- Payload length (2 octets)
  - Length in octets of the current payload including the header.

#### Payload Length

**Copyright 2010 Yeali S. Sun.** All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form, or by any means without the prior written permission of the author.

RESERVED

Next Payload

chaining

#### ISAKMP payload: data attribute fields (2/2)

A F Attribute Type	AF=0 Attribute Length AF=1 Attribute Value				
AF=0 Attribute Value					
AF=1 Not Transmitted					

- Data attribute fields contain information about the attributes for each domain in a DOI document, e.g., IPSEC DOI (IPDOI).
- Attribute Format (AF) (1 bit)
  - 0: Type/Length/Value
    - 1: Type/Value

# ISAKMP Exchange Types

- Basic exchange
- Identity Protection Exchange
- Authentication Only Exchange
- Aggressive Exchange
- Informational Exchange

### Type #1 - Base Exchange (1/4)

#### Goal

Allow the Key Exchange and Authentication related info to be transmitted in one message.

Type #1 - Base Exchange (2/4)						
	Initiator		Responder			
(1)	HDR; SA; NONCE	=>		//begin ISAKMP capability exchange		
(2)	)	<=	(2) HDR; SA; NONCE	//basic SA agreed		
(3)	HDR; <mark>KE</mark> ; <mark>IDii</mark> ; AUTH	=>	// ke //init re	y generated by responder iator identity verified by esponder		
(4)	)	<=	HDR; KE; IDir; AUTH	sponder identity verified		
			b	y initiator		
			//key	generated by initiator		
			//SA	established		

# Type #1 - Base Exchange (3/4)

Step (1)

The SA, Proposal, and Transform payloads are included in the SA payload.

#### NONCE

- a random info used to guarantee liveness and protect against replay attacks.
- NONCEs provided by both parties are used by the authentication mechanism as a shared proof of participation in the exchange.
- Step (2)
- Local security policy dictates the action of the responder if no proposed protection suite is accepted,
  - e.g., the transmission of a Notify payload as part of an Informational Exchange.

# Type #1 - Base Exchange (4/4)

#### Notes

- This can reduce the number of round trips at the expense of not providing identity protection.
- Identities are exchanged before a common shared secret has been established and, therefore, encryption of the identities is not possible.

Type #2 - Identity Protection Exchange (1/2)



- Separate the Key Exchange info from the Identity and Authentication related info
- Protect identity exchange under the protection of a previously established common shared secret.

At the expense of two additional messages.

# Type #2 - Identity Protection Exchange (2/2)



This info is transmitted under the protection of the common shared secret.

### Type #3 - Authentication Only Exchange (1/2)

- The goal is to allow only Authentication related info to be transmitted.
- Perform only authentication without the computational expense of computing keys.
  Therefore, none of the transmitted info will be encrypted.

#### Type #3 - Authentication Only Exchange (2/2)



# Type #4 - Aggressive Exchange (1/2)

The goal is to allow SA, KE and AUTH related payloads to be transmitted in one message.

- To reduce the number of round trips at the expense of not providing identity protection.
- The SA is created in one single exchange.



may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form, or by any means without the prior written permission of the author.

#### Type #5 - Informational Exchange

The goal is to allow one-way transmittal of info that can be used for security association management.



#### The end. ©