

Algorithms 2013: NP-Completeness

(Based on [Manber 1989])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

1 P vs. NP

P vs. NP

- P denotes the class of all problems that can be solved by *deterministic* algorithms in *polynomial* time.
- NP denotes the class of all problems that can be solved by *nondeterministic* algorithms in *polynomial* time.
- A *nondeterministic* algorithm, when faced with a choice of several options, has the power to *guess* the right one (if there is any).
- We will focus on *decision* problems, whose answer is either yes or no.


2 Polynomial-Time Reductions

Decision as Language Recognition

- A *decision* problem can be viewed as a *language-recognition* problem.
- Let U be the set of all possible inputs to the decision problem and $L \subseteq U$ be the set of all inputs for which the answer to the problem is yes.
- We call L the *language* corresponding to the problem.
- The decision problem is to *recognize* whether a given input belongs to L .

Polynomial-Time Reductions

- Let L_1 and L_2 be two languages from the input spaces U_1 and U_2 .
- We say that L_1 is *polynomially reducible* to L_2 if there exists a *conversion* algorithm AC satisfying the following conditions:
 1. AC runs in polynomial time (deterministically).
 2. $u_1 \in L_1$ if and only if $AC(u_1) = u_2 \in L_2$.



Polynomial-Time Reductions (cont.)

Theorem 1 (11.1). *If L_1 is polynomially reducible to L_2 and there is a polynomial-time algorithm for L_2 , then there is a polynomial-time algorithm for L_1 .*

Theorem 2 (11.2: transitivity). *If L_1 is polynomially reducible to L_2 and L_2 is polynomially reducible to L_3 , then L_1 is polynomially reducible to L_3 .*

3 NP-Completeness

NP-Completeness

- A problem X is called an **NP-hard** problem if every problem in NP is polynomially reducible to X .
- A problem X is called an **NP-complete** problem if (1) X belongs to NP, and (2) X is NP-hard.

Lemma 3 (11.3). *A problem X is an NP-complete problem if (1) X belongs to NP, and (2) Y is polynomially reducible to X , for some NP-complete problem Y .*

- If there exists an efficient (polynomial-time) algorithm for any NP-complete problem, then there exist efficient algorithms for all NP-complete (and hence all NP) problems.

4 The SAT Problem

The Satisfiability Problem (SAT)

Problem 4. *Given a Boolean expression in conjunctive normal form, determine whether it is satisfiable.*

- A Boolean expression is in *conjunctive normal form* (CNF) if it is the product of several sums, e.g., $(x + y + \bar{z}) \cdot (\bar{x} + y + z) \cdot (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z})$.
- A Boolean expression is said to be *satisfiable* if there exists an assignment of 0s and 1s to its variables such that the value of the expression is 1.

SAT (cont.)

Theorem 5 (Cook's Theorem). *The SAT problem is NP-complete.*

- This is our starting point for showing the NP-completeness of some other problems.
- Their NP-hardness will be proved by reduction directly or indirectly from SAT.

NP-Complete Problems




Figure 11.1 The order of NP-completeness proofs in the text.

Source: [Manber 1989].

5 Vertex Cover

Vertex Cover

Problem 6. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k , determine whether G has a vertex cover containing $\leq k$ vertices.

A *vertex cover* of G is a set of vertices such that every edge in G is incident to at least one of these vertices.

Theorem 7 (11.4). *The vertex-cover problem is NP-complete.*

By reduction from the clique problem.

6 Dominating Set

Dominating Set

Problem 8. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k , determine whether G has a dominating set containing $\leq k$ vertices.

A *dominating set* D is a set of vertices such that every vertex of G is either in D or is adjacent to some vertex in D .

Theorem 9 (11.5). *The dominating-set problem is NP-complete.*

By reduction from the vertex-cover problem.

Dominating Set (cont.)




Figure 11.2 The dominating-set reduction.

Source: [Manber 1989].

7 3SAT

3SAT

Problem 10. Given a Boolean expression in CNF such that each clause contains exactly three variables, determine whether it is satisfiable.

Theorem 11 (11.6). The 3SAT problem is NP-complete.

By reduction from the regular SAT problem.

8 Clique

Clique

Problem 12. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k , determine whether G contains a clique of size $\geq k$.

A *clique* C is a subgraph of G such that all vertices in C are adjacent to all other vertices in C .

Theorem 13 (11.7). The clique problem is NP-complete.

By reduction from the SAT problem.

Clique (cont.)




Figure 11.3 An example of the clique reduction for the expression $(x + y + \bar{z}) \cdot (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + z) \cdot (y + \bar{z})$.

Source: [Manber 1989].

9 3-Coloring

3-Coloring

Problem 14. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, determine whether G can be colored with three colors.

Theorem 15 (11.8). The 3-coloring problem is NP-complete.

By reduction from the 3SAT problem.

3-Coloring (cont.)




Figure 11.4 The first part of the construction in the reduction of 3SAT to 3-coloring.

Source: [Manber 1989].

3-Coloring (cont.)




Figure 11.5 The subgraphs corresponding to the clauses in the reduction of 3SAT to 3-coloring.

Source: [Manber 1989].

3-Coloring (cont.)




Figure 11.6 The graph corresponding to $(\bar{x} + y + \bar{z}) \cdot (\bar{x} + \bar{y} + z)$.

Source: [Manber 1989].

10 More NP-Complete Problems

More NP-Complete Problems

- **Independent set:** An independent set in an undirected graph is a set of vertices no two of which are adjacent. The problem is to determine, given a graph G and an integer k , whether G contains an independent set with $\geq k$ vertices.
- **Hamiltonian cycle:** A Hamiltonian cycle in a graph is a (simple) cycle that contains each vertex exactly once. The problem is to determine whether a given graph contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

More NP-Complete Problems (cont.)

- **Travelling salesman:** The input includes a set of cities, the distances between all pairs of cities, and a number D . The problem is to determine whether there exists a (travelling-salesman) tour of all the cities having total length $\leq D$.
- **Partition:** The input is a set X where each element $x \in X$ has an associated size $s(x)$. The problem is to determine whether it is possible to partition the set into two subsets with exactly the same total size.

More NP-Complete Problems (cont.)

- **Knapsack:** The input is a set X , where each element $x \in X$ has an associated size $s(x)$ and value $v(x)$, and two other numbers S and V . The problem is to determine whether there is a subset $B \subseteq X$ whose total size is $\leq S$ and whose total value is $\geq V$.
- **Bin packing:** The input is a set of numbers $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and two other numbers b and k . The problem is to determine whether the set can be partitioned into k subsets such that the sum of numbers in each subset is $\leq b$.