Algorithms 2017: String Processing

(Based on [Manber 1989])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

1 Data Compression

Data Compression

Problem 1. Given a text (a sequence of characters), find an encoding for the characters that satisfies the prefix constraint and that minimizes the total number of bits needed to encode the text.

The *prefix constraint* states that the prefixes of an encoding of one character must not be equal to a complete encoding of another character.

Denote the characters by c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n and their frequencies by f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n . Given an encoding E in which a bit string s_i represents c_i , the length (number of bits) of the text encoded by using E is $\sum_{i=1}^n |s_i| \cdot f_i$.

A Code Tree




Figure 6.17 The tree representation of encoding.

Source: [Manber 1989].

A Huffman Tree




Figure 6.19 The Huffman tree for example 6.1.

Source: [Manber 1989]. (Frequencies: A: 5, B: 2, C: 3, D: 4, E: 10, F:1)

Huffman Encoding

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Algorithm Huffman\_Encoding } (S,f);\\ insert all characters into a heap H\\ according to their frequencies;\\ \textbf{while } H \text{ not empty } \textbf{do}\\ \textbf{if } H \text{ contains only one character } X \textbf{ then}\\ make X \text{ the root of } T\\ \textbf{else}\\ delete X \text{ and } Y \text{ with lowest frequencies};\\ from H;\\ create Z \text{ with a frequency equal to the}\\ sum of the frequencies of X \text{ and } Y;\\ insert Z \text{ into } H;\\ make X \text{ and } Y \text{ children of } Z \text{ in } T\\ \end{array}
```

What is its time complexity?

2 String Matching

String Matching

Problem 2. Given two strings $A (= a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)$ and $B (= b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$, find the first occurrence (if any) of B in A. In other words, find the smallest k such that, for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $a_{k-1+i} = b_i$.

A substring of a string A is a consecutive sequence of characters $a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_j$ from A.

Straightforward String Matching

				A =	=xy	xxy	vxy.	xyy.	xyx	уху	yx	yxy	xx.	1	3 =	хух	cyy.	xy.	кy.	xx.					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	0	1/	1	1 1	21	2 1	4.1	5 1	6	17	10	> 14	2.2		1.0		2
		_	-																						
	x	у	х	x	у	х	у	x	у	у	X	у	x	у	x	y)	γ.	х	у	x	у	x	x	
1:	х	у	x	у		·	·																		
2:		x			·																				
3:			x	у	•																				
4:				x	y	x	y	y	·	•	·														
5:					x	•	•	·																	
6:						х	у	x	у	у	х	у	х	у	x	x									
7:							х	·	•	•															
8:								x	y	x															
9:									x	·		•													
10:										x		•													
11:											x	y	х	y	у	•			•						
12:												x		•	T.										
13:													x	у	x	у	y	, .	x	y	x	у	x	x	

Figure 6.20 An example of a straightforward string matching.

Source: [Manber 1989].

Straightforward String Matching (cont.)

- What is the time complexity?
 - $-B (= b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$ may be compared against
 - * $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$,
 - * $a_2a_3\cdots a_{m+1}$,
 - * ..., and
 - * $a_{n-m+1}a_{n-m+2}\cdots a_n$
 - For example, $A = xxxx \dots xxxy$ and B = xxxy.
- So, the time complexity is $O(m \times n)$.
- We will exam the cause of defficiency.
- We then study an efficient algorithm, which is linear-time with a preprocessing stage.

Matching Against Itself

У $x \quad x$ x y x y y x · · · х у х

Figure 6.21 Matching the pattern against itself.

Source: [Manber 1989].

The Values of next

<i>i</i> =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<i>B</i> =	x	у	x	у	у	x	у	x	у	x	x
next =	-1	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	3	4	3

Figure 6.22 The values of next.

Source: [Manber 1989].

The value of next[j] tells the length of the longest proper prefix that is equal to a suffix of $b_1b_2...b_j$.

The KMP Algorithm

```
Algorithm String_Match (A, n, B, m);

begin

j := 1; i := 1;

Start := 0;

while Start = 0 and i \le n do

if B[j] = A[i] then

j := j + 1; i := i + 1

else

j := next[j] + 1;

if j = 0 then

j := 1; i := i + 1;

if j = m + 1 then Start := i - m

end
```

The KMP Algorithm (cont.)




Figure 6.24 Computing next(i).

Source: [Manber 1989].

The KMP Algorithm (cont.)

```
Algorithm Compute_Next (B, m);

begin

next[1] := -1; next[2] := 0;

for i := 3 to m do

j := next[i-1] + 1;

while B[i-1] \neq B[j] and j > 0 do

j := next[j] + 1;

next[i] := j
```

end

The KMP Algorithm (cont.)

- What is its time complexity?
 - Because of backtracking, a_i may be compared against

* b_j , * b_{j-1} , * ..., and * b_2

- However, for these to happen, each of $a_{i-j+2}, a_{i-j+3}, \ldots, a_{i-1}$ was compared against the corresponding character in $b_1b_2 \ldots b_{j-1}$ just once.
- We may re-assign the costs of comparing a_i against $b_{j-1}, b_{j-2}, \ldots, b_2$ to those of comparing $a_{i-j+2}a_{i-j+3}\ldots a_{i-1}$ against $b_1b_2\ldots b_{j-1}$.
- Every a_i is incurred the cost of at most two comparisons.
- So, the time complexity is O(n).

3 String Editing

String Editing

Problem 3. Given two strings $A (= a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)$ and $B (= b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$, find the minimum number of changes required to change A character by character such that it becomes equal to B.

Three types of changes (or edit steps) allowed: (1) insert, (2) delete, and (3) replace.

String Editing (cont.)

Let C(i, j) denote the minimum cost of changing A(i) to B(j), where $A(i) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_i$ and $B(j) = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_j$.

$$C(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} C(i-1,j) + 1 & (\text{deleting } a_i) \\ C(i,j-1) + 1 & (\text{inserting } b_j) \\ C(i-1,j-1) + 1 & (a_i \to b_j) \\ C(i-1,j-1) & (a_i = b_j) \end{cases}$$

String Editing (cont.)




Figure 6.26 The dependencies of C(i, j).

Source: [Manber 1989].

String Editing (cont.)