

Appendix to Chapter 2 of [Manber]: Proving a Loop Invariant

Consider the following variant of Euclid's algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of two positive integers.

```
Algorithm myEuclid ( $m, n$ );  
begin  
  // assume that  $m > 0$  and  $n > 0$   
   $x := m$ ;  
   $y := n$ ;  
  while  $x \neq y$  do  
    if  $x < y$  then swap( $x, y$ );  
     $x := x - y$ ;  
  od  
  ...  
end
```

where swap(x, y) exchanges the values of x and y .

Let $Inv(m, n, x, y)$ denote the assertion:

$$x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge \text{gcd}(x, y) = \text{gcd}(m, n).$$

Claim: $Inv(m, n, x, y)$ is a loop invariant of the while loop, assuming that $m, n > 0$ initially. (The invariant is sufficient for one to deduce that, when the while loop terminates, i.e., when $x = y$, either x or y stores the value of $\text{gcd}(x, y)$, which equals $\text{gcd}(m, n)$.)

Proof: The proof is by induction on the number of times the loop body is executed. More specifically, we show that (1) the assertion is true when the flow of control reaches the loop for the first time and (2) given that the assertion is true and the loop condition holds, the assertion will remain true after the next iteration (i.e., after the loop body is executed once more).

(1) Base case: when the flow of control reaches the loop for the first time, $x = m$ and $y = n$, with $m > 0$ and $n > 0$. Therefore, $x > 0$, $y > 0$, and $\text{gcd}(x, y) = \text{gcd}(m, n)$ and clearly the assertion $Inv(m, n, x, y)$ holds.

(2) Inductive step: assume that $Inv(m, n, x, y)$ is true at the start of the next iteration and the loop condition ($x \neq y$) holds. We need to show that $Inv(m', n', x', y')$ also holds, where m' , n' , x' , and y' denote respectively the new values of m , n , x , and y after the next iteration of the while loop (m , n , x , and y themselves denote the current values of these variables at the start of the next iteration).

From the loop body, we deduce the following relationship (assuming that the loop condition $x \neq y$ holds):

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x < y) \rightarrow (x' = y - x) \wedge (y' = x)) \\ \wedge & ((x > y) \rightarrow (x' = x - y) \wedge (y' = y)) \\ \wedge & m' = m \\ \wedge & n' = n \end{aligned}$$

There are two cases to consider: when $x < y$ and when $x > y$. We prove the first case; the second case can be proven similarly.

Suppose $x < y$. $x' = y - x > 0$ and hence $x' > 0$; also, $y' = x > 0$ (from the induction hypothesis). $\gcd(x', y') = \gcd(y - x, x) = \gcd(y, x) = \gcd(x, y)$, which from the induction hypothesis equals $\gcd(m, n) = \gcd(m', n')$, and hence $\gcd(x', y') = \gcd(m', n')$. Therefore, $Inv(m', n', x', y')$ holds and this concludes the proof.