

Formal Logic: First-Order Logic

(Based on [Gallier 1986], [Goubault-Larrecq and Mackie 1997], and [Huth and Ryan 2004])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

Department of Information Management
National Taiwan University

Introduction

- 🌐 Logic concerns two concepts:
 - ☀️ **truth** (in a specific or general context)
 - ☀️ **provability** (of truth from assumed truth)
- 🌐 **Formal (symbolic) logic** approaches logic by rules for manipulating symbols:
 - ☀️ **Syntax** rules: for writing statements (or formulae).
 - ☀️ **Semantic** rules: for giving meanings (truth values) to statements.
 - ☀️ **Inference** rules: for obtaining true statements from other true statements.
- 🌐 We shall introduce two main branches of formal logic:
 - ☀️ **propositional logic**
 - ☀️ **first-order logic** (predicate logic/calculus)
- 🌐 The following slides cover **first-order logic**.

Predicates

- 🌐 A *predicate* is a “parameterized” statement that, when supplied with actual arguments, is either *true* or *false* such as the following:
- ☀ Leslie is a teacher.
 - ☀ Chris is a teacher.
 - ☀ Leslie is a pop singer.
 - ☀ Chris is a pop singer.
- 🌐 Like propositions, simplest (**atomic**) predicates may be combined to form **compound** predicates.

- 🌐 We are given the following assumptions:
 - ☀️ *For any* person, *either* the person is not a teacher *or* the person is not rich.
 - ☀️ *For any* person, *if* the person is a pop singer, *then* the person is rich.
- 🌐 We wish to conclude the following:
 - ☀️ *For any* person, *if* the person is a teacher, *then* the person is not a pop singer.

Symbolic Predicates

- Like propositions, predicates are represented by *symbols*.
 - $p(x)$: x is a teacher.
 - $q(x)$: x is rich.
 - $r(y)$: y is a pop singer.
- Compound predicates can be expressed:
 - For all x , $r(x) \rightarrow q(x)$: For any person, if the person is a pop singer, then the person is rich.
 - For all y , $p(y) \rightarrow \neg r(y)$: For any person, if the person is a teacher, then the person is not a pop singer.

Symbolic Inferences

🌐 We are given the following assumptions:

☀ For all x , $\neg p(x) \vee \neg q(x)$.

☀ For all x , $r(x) \rightarrow q(x)$.

🌐 We wish to conclude the following:

☀ For all x , $p(x) \rightarrow \neg r(x)$.

🌐 To check the correctness of the inference above, we ask:

Is $((\text{for all } x, \neg p(x) \vee \neg q(x)) \wedge (\text{for all } x, r(x) \rightarrow q(x))) \rightarrow$
 $(\text{for all } x, p(x) \rightarrow \neg r(x))$ valid?

First-Order Logic: Syntax

- 🌐 Logical symbols:
 - ☀ A countable set V of *variables*: x, y, z, \dots ;
 - ☀ *Logical connectives* (operators): $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \perp, \forall$ (for all), \exists (there exists);
 - ☀ Auxiliary symbols: “(”, “)”.
- 🌐 Non-logical symbols:
 - ☀ A countable set of *function symbols* with associated ranks (arities);
 - ☀ A countable set of *constants*;
 - ☀ A countable set of *predicate symbols* with associated ranks (arities);
- 🌐 We refer to a first-order language as *Language L*, where L is the set of non-logical symbols (e.g., $\{+, 0, 1, <\}$).

First-Order Logic: Syntax (cont.)

🌐 Terms:

- ☀ Every *constant* and every *variable* is a term.
- ☀ If t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k are terms and f is a k -ary function symbol ($k > 0$), then $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ is a term.

🌐 Atomic formulae:

- ☀ Every *predicate symbol* of 0-arity is an atomic formula and so is \perp .
- ☀ If t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k are terms and p is a k -ary predicate symbol ($k > 0$), then $p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ is an atomic formula.

🌐 For example, consider Language $\{+, 0, 1, <\}$.

- ☀ $0, x, x + 1, x + (x + 1)$, etc. are terms.
- ☀ $0 < 1, x < (x + 1)$, etc. are atomic formulae.

First-Order Logic: Syntax (cont.)

🌐 Formulae:

- ☀ Every **atomic formula** is a formula.
- ☀ If A and B are formulae, then so are $\neg A$, $(A \wedge B)$, $(A \vee B)$, $(A \rightarrow B)$, and $(A \leftrightarrow B)$.
- ☀ If x is a variable and A is a formula, then so are $\forall xA$ and $\exists xA$.

🌐 First-order logic *with equality* includes equality ($=$) as an additional logical symbol, which behaves like a predicate symbol.

🌐 Example formulae in Language $\{+, 0, 1, <\}$:

- ☀ $(0 < x) \vee (x < 1)$
- ☀ $\forall x(\exists y(x + y = 0))$

First-Order Logic: Syntax (cont.)

- 🌐 We may give the logical connectives different binding powers, or **precedences**, to avoid excessive parentheses, usually in this order:

$$\neg, \{\forall, \exists\}, \{\wedge, \vee\}, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow .$$

For example, $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow C$ becomes $A \wedge B \rightarrow C$.

- 🌐 Common Abbreviations:

- ☀ $x = y = z$ means $x = y \wedge y = z$.
- ☀ $p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ means $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$. Implication associates to the right, so do other logical symbols.
- ☀ $\forall x, y, z A$ means $\forall x(\forall y(\forall z A))$.

Free and Bound Variables

- In a formula $\forall xA$ (or $\exists xA$), the variable x is *bound* by the quantifier \forall (or \exists).
- A *free* variable is one that is not bound.
- The same variable may have both a free and a bound occurrence.
- For example, consider $(\forall x(R(x, \underline{y}) \rightarrow P(x)) \wedge \forall y(\neg R(\underline{x}, y) \wedge \forall xP(x)))$.
The underlined occurrences of x and y are free, while others are bound.
- A formula is *closed*, also called a *sentence*, if it does not contain a free variable.

Free Variables Formally Defined

For a term t , the set $FV(t)$ of free variables of t is defined inductively as follows:

-  $FV(x) = \{x\}$, for a variable x ;
-  $FV(c) = \emptyset$, for a constant c ;
-  $FV(f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2) \cup \dots \cup FV(t_n)$, for an n -ary function f applied to n terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

Free Variables Formally Defined (cont.)

For a formula A , the set $FV(A)$ of free variables of A is defined inductively as follows:

- $FV(P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2) \cup \dots \cup FV(t_n)$, for an n -ary predicate P applied to n terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n ;
- $FV(t_1 = t_2) = FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2)$;
- $FV(\neg B) = FV(B)$;
- $FV(B * C) = FV(B) \cup FV(C)$, where $*$ $\in \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$;
- $FV(\perp) = \emptyset$;
- $FV(\forall x B) = FV(B) - \{x\}$;
- $FV(\exists x B) = FV(B) - \{x\}$.

Bound Variables Formally Defined

For a formula A , the set $BV(A)$ of bound variables in A is defined inductively as follows:

- $BV(P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) = \emptyset$, for an n -ary predicate P applied to n terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n ;
- $BV(t_1 = t_2) = \emptyset$;
- $BV(\neg B) = BV(B)$;
- $BV(B * C) = BV(B) \cup BV(C)$, where $*$ $\in \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$;
- $BV(\perp) = \emptyset$;
- $BV(\forall x B) = BV(B) \cup \{x\}$;
- $BV(\exists x B) = BV(B) \cup \{x\}$.

Substitutions

- Let t be a term and A a formula.
- The result of substituting t for a free variable x in A is denoted by $A[t/x]$.
- Consider $A = \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, f(y)))$.
 - When $t = g(y)$, $A[t/y] = \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, f(g(y))))$.
 - For any t , $A[t/x] = \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x, f(y))) = A$, since there is no free occurrence of x in A .
- A substitution is *admissible* if no free variable of t would become bound after the substitution.
- For example, when $t = g(x, y)$, $A[t/y]$ is not admissible, as the free variable x of t would become bound.

Substitutions Formally Defined

Let s and t be terms. The result of substituting t in s for a variable x , denoted $s[t/x]$, is defined inductively as follows:

- 1. $x[t/x] = t$;
- 2. $y[t/x] = y$, for a variable y that is not x ;
- 3. $c[t/x] = c$, for a constant c ;
- 4. $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)[t/x] = f(t_1[t/x], t_2[t/x], \dots, t_n[t/x])$, for an n -ary function f applied to n terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

Substitutions Formally Defined (cont.)

For a formula A , $A[t/x]$ is defined inductively as follows:

- $P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)[t/x] = P(t_1[t/x], t_2[t/x], \dots, t_n[t/x])$, for an n -ary predicate P applied to n terms t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n ;
- $(t_1 = t_2)[t/x] = (t_1[t/x] = t_2[t/x])$;
- $(\neg B)[t/x] = \neg B[t/x]$;
- $(B * C)[t/x] = (B[t/x] * C[t/x])$, where $*$ $\in \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$;
- $\perp[t/x] = \perp$;
- $(\forall x B)[t/x] = (\forall x B)$;
- $(\forall y B)[t/x] = (\forall y B[t/x])$, if variable y is not x ;
- $(\exists x B)[t/x] = (\exists x B)$;
- $(\exists y B)[t/x] = (\exists y B[t/x])$, if variable y is not x ;

First-Order Structures

- 🌐 A first-order structure \mathcal{M} is a pair (M, I) , where
 - ☀️ M (a non-empty set) is the *domain* of the structure, and
 - ☀️ I is the *interpretation function*, that assigns functions and predicates over M to the function and predicate symbols.
- 🌐 An interpretation may be represented by simply listing the functions and predicates.
- 🌐 For instance, $(Z, \{+_Z, 0_Z\})$ is a structure for the language $\{+, 0\}$. The subscripts are omitted, as $(Z, \{+, 0\})$, when no confusion may arise.

Semantics of First-Order Logic

- Since a formula may contain free variables, its truth value depends on the specific values that are assigned to these variables.
- Given a first-order language and a structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, I)$, an *assignment* is a function from the set of variables to M .
- The structure \mathcal{M} along with an assignment s determines the truth value of a formula A , denoted as $A_{\mathcal{M}}[s]$.
- For example, $(x + 0 = x)_{(Z, \{+, 0\})}[x := 1]$ evaluates to T .

- 🌐 We say $\mathcal{M}, s \models A$ when $A_{\mathcal{M}}[s]$ is T (true) and $\mathcal{M}, s \not\models A$ otherwise.
- 🌐 Alternatively, \models may be defined as follows (propositional part is as in propositional logic):
 - $\mathcal{M}, s \models \forall xA \iff \mathcal{M}, s[x := m] \models A$ for all $m \in M$.
 - $\mathcal{M}, s \models \exists xA \iff \mathcal{M}, s[x := m] \models A$ for some $m \in M$.where $s[x := m]$ denotes an updated assignment s' from s such that $s'(y) = s(y)$ for $y \neq x$ and $s'(x) = m$.
- 🌐 For example, $(Z, \{+, 0\}), s \models \forall x(x + 0 = x)$ holds, since $(Z, \{+, 0\}), s[x := m] \models x + 0 = x$ for all $m \in Z$.

Satisfiability and Validity

- 🌐 A formula A is *satisfiable in* \mathcal{M} if there is an assignment s such that $\mathcal{M}, s \models A$.
- 🌐 A formula A is *valid in* \mathcal{M} , denoted $\mathcal{M} \models A$, if $\mathcal{M}, s \models A$ for every assignment s .
- 🌐 For instance, $\forall x(x + 0 = x)$ is valid in $(\mathbb{Z}, \{+, 0\})$.
- 🌐 \mathcal{M} is called a *model* of A if A is valid in \mathcal{M} .
- 🌐 A formula A is *valid* if it is valid in every structure, denoted $\models A$.

Relating the Quantifiers

Lemma

$$\models \neg \forall x A \leftrightarrow \exists x \neg A$$

$$\models \neg \exists x A \leftrightarrow \forall x \neg A$$

$$\models \forall x A \leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg A$$

$$\models \exists x A \leftrightarrow \neg \forall x \neg A$$

Note: These equivalences show that, with the help of negation, either quantifier can be expressed by the other.

Quantifier Rules of Natural Deduction

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x A} (\forall I)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x A}{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]} (\forall E)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x A} (\exists I)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x A \quad \Gamma, A[y/x] \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash B} (\exists E)$$

In the rules above, we assume that all substitutions are admissible and y does not occur free in Γ or A .

Soundness and Completeness

Let System ND also include the quantifier rules.

Theorem

System ND is *sound*, i.e., if a sequent $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is *provable* in ND , then $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is *valid*.

Theorem

System ND is *complete*, i.e., if a sequent $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is *valid*, then $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ is *provable* in ND .

Note: assume *no equality* in the logic language.

Theorem

For any (possibly infinite) set Γ of formulae, if every finite non-empty subset of Γ is satisfiable then Γ is satisfiable.

Consistency

Recall that a set Γ of formulae is *consistent* if there exists some formula B such that the sequent $\Gamma \vdash B$ is not provable. Otherwise, Γ is *inconsistent*.

Lemma

*For System ND, a set Γ of formulae is **inconsistent** if and only if there is some formula A such that both $\Gamma \vdash A$ and $\Gamma \vdash \neg A$ are provable.*

Theorem

*For System ND, a set Γ of formulae is **satisfiable** if and only if Γ is **consistent**.*

Equality Rules of Natural Deduction

Let t, t_1, t_2 be arbitrary terms; again, assume all substitutions are admissible.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash t = t} (= I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 = t_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash A[t_1/x]}{\Gamma \vdash A[t_2/x]} (= E)$$

Note: The $=$ sign is part of the object language, not a meta symbol.

- Assume a fixed first-order language.
- A set S of sentences is closed under provability if

$$S = \{A \mid A \text{ is a sentence and } S \vdash A \text{ is provable}\}.$$

- A set of sentences is called a *theory* if it is closed under provability.
- A theory is typically represented by a smaller set of sentences, called its *axioms*.

Group as a First-Order Theory

-  The set of non-logical symbols is $\{\cdot, e\}$, where \cdot is a binary function (operation) and e is a constant (the identity).
-  Axioms:
 -  $\forall a, b, c(a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c)$ (Associativity)
 -  $\forall a(a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a)$ (Identity)
 -  $\forall a(\exists b(a \cdot b = b \cdot a = e))$ (Inverse)
-  $(\mathbb{Z}, \{+, 0\})$ and $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, \{\times, 1\})$ are models of the theory.
-  Additional axiom for Abelian groups:
 -  $\forall a, b(a \cdot b = b \cdot a)$ (Commutativity)

- 🌐 A *theorem* is just a statement (sentence) in a theory (a set of sentences).
- 🌐 For example, the following are theorems in Group theory:
 - ☀ $\forall a \forall b \forall c ((a \cdot b = a \cdot c) \rightarrow b = c)$.
 - ☀ $\forall a \forall b \forall c (((a \cdot b = e) \wedge (b \cdot a = e) \wedge (a \cdot c = e) \wedge (c \cdot a = e)) \rightarrow b = c)$,
which says that every element has a unique inverse.