

Suggested Solutions for Homework Assignment #4

We assume the binding powers of the logical connectives and the entailment symbol decrease in this order: \neg , $\{\forall, \exists\}$, $\{\wedge, \vee\}$, \rightarrow , \leftrightarrow , \vdash .

1. Prove that the following annotated program segments are correct:

(a) (10 points)

$\{true\}$
if $x < y$ **then** $x, y := y, x$ **fi**
 $\{x \geq y\}$

Solution.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{true \wedge x < y \rightarrow y \geq x} \quad \frac{\{y \geq x\} \ x, y := y, x \ \{x \geq y\}}{\{true \wedge x < y\} \ x, y := y, x \ \{x \geq y\}} \text{ (Assign) (SP)}}{\{true\} \ \mathbf{if} \ x < y \ \mathbf{then} \ x, y := y, x \ \mathbf{fi} \ \{x \geq y\}} \frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{true \wedge \neg(x < y) \rightarrow x \geq y} \text{ (If-Then)}$$

□

(b) (10 points)

$\{g = 0 \wedge p = n \wedge n \geq 1\}$
while $p \geq 2$ **do**
 $g, p := g + 1, p - 1$
od
 $\{g = n - 1\}$

Solution.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{g = 0 \wedge p = n \wedge n = 1 \rightarrow p > 0 \wedge p + g = n} \quad \alpha \quad \frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n \wedge \neg(p \geq 2) \rightarrow g = n - 1}}{\{g = 0 \wedge p = n \wedge n = 1\} \ \mathbf{while} \ p \geq 2 \ \mathbf{do} \ g, p := g - 1, p + 1 \ \mathbf{od} \ \{g = n - 1\}} \text{ (Consequence)}$$

α :

$$\frac{\beta \quad \frac{\{p + 1 > 0 \wedge (p + 1) + (g - 1) = n\} \ g, p := g - 1, p + 1 \ \{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n\}}{\{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n \wedge p \geq 2\} \ g, p := g - 1, p + 1 \ \{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n\}} \text{ (Assign) (SP)}}{\{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n\} \ \mathbf{while} \ p \geq 2 \ \mathbf{do} \ g, p := g - 1, p + 1 \ \mathbf{od} \ \{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n \wedge \neg(p \geq 2)\}} \text{ (while)}$$

β :

$$\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{p > 0 \wedge p + g = n \wedge p \geq 2 \rightarrow p + 1 > 0 \wedge (p + 1) + (g - 1) = n}$$

□

(c) (20 points) For this program, prove its total correctness.

```

{y > 0 ∧ (x ≡ m (mod y))}
while x ≥ y do
    x := x - y
od
{(x ≡ m (mod y)) ∧ x < y}

```

Solution.

$$\frac{\alpha \quad \frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge \neg(x \geq y) \rightarrow (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x < y}}{\{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y})\} \text{ while } x \geq y \text{ do } x := x - y \text{ od } \{(x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x < y\}} \text{ (WP)}$$

α :

$$\frac{\beta \quad \gamma \quad \frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x \geq y \rightarrow x \geq 0}}{\{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y})\} \text{ while } x \geq y \text{ do } x := x - y \text{ od } \{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge \neg(x \geq y)\}} \text{ (while: simply total)}$$

β :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x \geq y \rightarrow} \quad \frac{\text{(Assign)}}{\{y > 0 \wedge ((x - y) \equiv m \pmod{y})\}}}{\frac{y > 0 \wedge ((x - y) \equiv m \pmod{y}) \quad \{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y})\}}{\{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x \geq y\} x := x - y \{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y})\}} \text{ (SP)}$$

γ :

$$\frac{\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x \geq y \wedge x = Z \rightarrow x - y < Z} \quad \frac{\text{(Assign)}}{\{x - y < Z\} x := x - y \{x < Z\}}}{\{y > 0 \wedge (x \equiv m \pmod{y}) \wedge x \geq y \wedge x = Z\} x := x - y \{x < Z\}} \text{ (SP)}$$

□

2. (20 points) Given a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of real numbers (not necessarily positive), a maximum subsequence x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j is a subsequence of consecutive elements from the given sequence such that the sum of the numbers in the subsequence is maximum over all subsequences of consecutive elements. Below is a program that determines the sum of such a sequence.

```

Global_Max := 0;
Suffix_Max := 0;
for i := 1 to n do
    if x[i] + Suffix_Max > Global_Max then
        Suffix_Max := Suffix_Max + x[i];
        Global_Max := Suffix_Max
    else if x[i] + Suffix_Max > 0 then
        Suffix_Max := Suffix_Max + x[i]
    else Suffix_Max := 0
od;

```

Annotate the program into a *standard* proof outline, showing clearly the partial correctness of the program; a standard proof outline is essentially an annotated program where every statement is preceded by a pre-condition and the entire program is followed by a post-condition.

Solution. Let $isMS(s, x, i)$ denote that s is the sum of the maximum subsequence in $x[1..i]$ and $isMSX(s, x, i)$ denote that s is the sum of the maximum subsequence that is also a suffix in $x[1..i]$. In particular, $isMS(0, x, 0)$ and $isMSX(0, x, 0)$ both hold, as $x[1..0]$ denotes the empty sequence. To shorten formulae, we denote $Global_Max$ and $Suffix_Max$ respectively by G_M and S_M in all assertions.

```

1 // assume  $n \geq 1$ , which is preserved by the code and will be omitted later
2  $Global\_Max := 0$ ;
3 //  $isMS(G\_M, x, 0)$ 
4  $Suffix\_Max := 0$ ;
5 //  $isMS(G\_M, x, 0) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, 0)$ 
6  $i := 1$ ;
7 // inv:  $(1 \leq i \leq n + 1) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i - 1) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i - 1)$ 
8 while  $i \leq n$  do
9 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i - 1) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i - 1)$ 
10 if  $x[i] + Suffix\_Max > Global\_Max$  then
11 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(x[i] + S\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(x[i] + S\_M, x, i)$ 
12  $Suffix\_Max := Suffix\_Max + x[i]$ ;
13 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(S\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i)$ 
14  $Global\_Max := Suffix\_Max$ 
15 else
16 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i - 1)$ 
17 if  $x[i] + Suffix\_Max > 0$  then
18 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(x[i] + S\_M, x, i)$ 
19  $Suffix\_Max := Suffix\_Max + x[i]$ 
20 else
21 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(0, x, i)$ 
22  $Suffix\_Max := 0$ ;
23 fi
24 fi;
25 //  $(1 \leq i \leq n) \wedge isMS(G\_M, x, i) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i)$ 
26  $i := i + 1$ 
27 od;
28 //  $isMS(G\_M, x, i - 1) \wedge isMSX(S\_M, x, i - 1) \wedge i = n + 1$  (implying  $isMS(G\_M, x, n)$ )

```

□

3. (40 points) Given a directed graph represented by an $n \times n$ adjacency matrix (named $Know[1..n, 1..n]$), the following program determines whether there exists an i (the sink or “celebrity” of the graph) such that all the entries in the i -th column (except for the ii -th entry) are 1, and all the entries in the i -th row (except for the ii -th entry) are 0.

```

 $i, j, next := 1, 2, 3$ ;
while  $next \leq n + 1$  do
  if  $Know[i, j]$  then  $i := next$ 
  else  $j := next$ ;

```

```

    next := next + 1;
od
if i = n+1 then candidate := j
else candidate := i;

wrong := false;
k := 1;
Know[candidate,candidate] := false;
while not wrong and k <= n do
    if Know[candidate,k] then wrong := true;
    if not Know[k,candidate] then
        if candidate <> k then wrong := true;
        k := k + 1;
    end if
end while
if not wrong then celebrity := candidate
else celebrity := 0;

```

Annotate the program into a standard proof outline, showing clearly the partial correctness of the program.

Solution. Let $K(i, j)$ denote that $\text{Know}[i, j] = 1$; hence, $\neg K(i, j)$ means $\text{Know}[i, j] = 0$, as $\text{Know}[i, j] = 0$ or 1 .

Let $\text{isSink}(v, m)$ assert that node v is a sink of the graph considering only vertices 1 through m . Formally, $\text{isSink}(v, m) \triangleq (1 \leq v \leq m) \wedge \forall i (1 \leq i \leq m \wedge i \neq v \rightarrow K(i, v)) \wedge \forall j (1 \leq j \leq m \wedge j \neq v \rightarrow \neg K(v, j))$. The special case $\text{isSink}(v, n)$ asserts that v is the sink of the entire graph.

1	// assume $n \geq 1$, which is preserved by the code and will be omitted later
2	i, j, next := 1, 2, 3;
3	// inv: $(1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} - 1 \leq n + 1) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, \text{next} - 2) \rightarrow (v = i \vee v = j))$
4	while next <= n+1 do
5	// $(1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} - 1 \leq n) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, \text{next} - 2) \rightarrow (v = i \vee v = j))$
6	if Know[i, j] then
7	// $K(i, j) \wedge (1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} - 1 \leq n) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, \text{next} - 2) \rightarrow v = j)$
8	i := next
9	else
10	// $\neg K(i, j) \wedge (1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} - 1 \leq n) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, \text{next} - 2) \rightarrow v = i)$
11	j := next
12	fi ;
13	// $(1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} \leq n - 1) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, \text{next} - 1) \rightarrow (v = i \vee v = j))$
14	next := next + 1
15	od ;
16	// $(1 \leq \min(i, j) < \max(i, j) = \text{next} - 1 = n + 1) \wedge \exists v (\text{isSink}(v, n) \rightarrow (v = i \vee v = j))$
17	if i = n+1 then
18	// $\exists v (\text{isSink}(v, n) \rightarrow v = j)$
19	candidate := j

```

20 | else
21 |   //  $\exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = i)$ 
22 |   candidate := i
23 | fi;
24 | //  $\exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
25 |
26 | wrong, k, Know[candidate, candidate] := false, 1, false;
27 | // inv:  $(1 \leq k \leq n+1) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge ((\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., k-1)) \vee (wrong \wedge$ 
  //  $\neg isSink(cand., k-1))) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
28 | while not wrong and k <= n do
29 |   //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge \neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., k-1) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow$ 
  //  $v = cand.)$ 
30 |   if Know[candidate, k] then
31 |     //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge \neg isSink(cand., k) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v =$ 
  //  $cand.)$ 
32 |     wrong := true
33 |   fi;
34 |   //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge ((\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., k-1)) \vee (wrong \wedge$ 
  //  $\neg isSink(cand., k))) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
35 |   if not Know[k, candidate] then
36 |     //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge \neg K(k, cand.) \wedge ((\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., k-1)) \vee (wrong \wedge$ 
  //  $\neg isSink(cand., k))) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
37 |     if candidate <> k then
38 |       //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge \neg isSink(cand., k) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v =$ 
  //  $cand.)$ 
39 |       wrong := true
40 |     fi;
41 |     //  $(1 \leq k \leq n) \wedge \neg K(cand., cand.) \wedge ((\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., k)) \vee (wrong \wedge$ 
  //  $\neg isSink(cand., k))) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
42 |     k := k + 1;
43 |   od;
44 | //  $((\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., n)) \vee (wrong \wedge \neg isSink(cand., n))) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v =$ 
  //  $cand.)$ 
45 | if not wrong then
46 |   //  $\neg wrong \wedge isSink(cand., n) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
47 |   celebrity := candidate
48 | else
49 |   //  $wrong \wedge \neg isSink(cand., n) \wedge \exists v(isSink(v, n) \rightarrow v = cand.)$ 
50 |   celebrity := 0
51 | fi;
52 | //  $((celebrity \neq 0) \wedge isSink(celebrity, n)) \vee ((celebrity = 0) \wedge \neg \exists v(isSink(v, n)))$ 

```

□