

## Natural Deduction in the Sequent Form (for Intuitionistic Logic)

### 1 Deduction Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A_1, \dots, A_i, \dots, A_n \vdash A_i} (Hyp^i) \\[10pt]
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B} (\wedge I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash A} (\wedge E_1) \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash B} (\wedge E_2) \\[10pt]
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} (\vee I_1) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B \quad \Gamma, A \vdash C \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma \vdash C} (\vee E) \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} (\vee I_2) \\[10pt]
 \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \top} (\top I) \\[10pt]
 \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B} (\rightarrow E) \\[10pt]
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash A} (\perp E)
 \end{array}$$

The above rule says that, if we can deduce  $\perp$  (representing a contradiction), then we can deduce anything.  $\neg A$  is then represented (i.e., defined) as  $A \rightarrow \perp$ . With the  $\rightarrow$ -elimination rule, one can deduce a contradiction (and hence anything) from  $\neg A$  and  $A$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash B[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x B} (\forall I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x B}{\Gamma \vdash B[t/x]} (\forall E) \\[10pt]
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash B[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x B} (\exists I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x B \quad \Gamma, B[y/x] \vdash C}{\Gamma \vdash C} (\exists E)
 \end{array}$$

In the rules above, we assume that all substitutions are admissible. In Rule  $\forall I$ ,  $y$  does not occur free in  $\Gamma$  or  $B$ , and in Rule  $\exists E$ ,  $y$  does not occur free in  $\Gamma$ ,  $B$ , or  $C$ .

## 2 Deduction Rules with Proof Terms

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_i : A_i, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash x_i : A_i} (Hyp^i) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : B}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t_2) : A \wedge B} (\wedge I) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(t) : A} (\wedge E_1) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd}(t) : B} (\wedge E_2) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inl}(B, t) : A \vee B} (\vee I_1) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \vee B \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash t_1 : C \quad \Gamma, y : B \vdash t_2 : C}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{case}(t, x.t_1, y.t_2) : C} (\vee E) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{inr}(A, t) : A \vee B} (\vee I_2) \\[10pt]
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{unit} : \top} (\top I) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) : A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow I) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : B} (\rightarrow E)
\end{array}$$

In the  $(\rightarrow I)$  rule above, it is assumed that  $B$  does not contain  $x$  (so  $B$  is independent of  $A$ ).

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{abort}(A, t) : A} (\perp E) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma, y : A \vdash t : B[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. t) : \forall x : A, B} (\forall I) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \forall x : A, B \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \ t_2 : B[t_2/x]} (\forall E) \\[10pt]
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : B[t_1/x]}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t_2) : \exists x : A, B} (\exists I) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \exists x : A, B \quad \Gamma, y : A, z : B[y/x] \vdash t_2 : C}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{open}(t_1, y.z.t_2) : C} (\exists E)
\end{array}$$

In Rule  $\forall I$ ,  $y$  does not occur free in  $\Gamma$  or  $B$ , and in Rule  $\exists E$ ,  $y$  does not occur free in  $\Gamma$ ,  $B$ , or  $C$ ;  $\mathbf{open}((t_1, t_2), y.z.t) \triangleq t[t_2/z][t_1/y]$ .