

Frama-C and ACSL

(Based on [The Frama-C Website, V. Prevosto 2013,
A. Blanchard 2020])

Yih-Kuen Tsay
(with help from Ming-Hsien Tsai and Yi-Fan Tsai)

Dept. of Information Management
National Taiwan University

- 🌐 Frama-C is an open-source extensible and collaborative platform dedicated to source-code analysis of C programs.
- 🌐 With suitable plug-ins, it is a tool for formal verification (among several source-code analysis features) of C code with specification written in ACSL (ANSI/ISO C Specification Language).
- 🌐 The most relevant plug-in of Frama-C for (Hoare Logic-based) formal verification in this course is WP.
- 🌐 WP in turn relies on external automatic or interactive provers such as Alt-Ergo and Coq to complete the verification tasks, with Why3 as the bridge.
- 🌐 Given an ACSL-annotated program, WP generates verification conditions using weakest-precondition calculus and sends them to designated provers.



- 🌐 The Frama-C kernel is based on a modified version of CIL, which is a front-end for C that parses ISO C99 programs into a normalized representation.
- 🌐 Frama-C extends CIL to support ACSL.
- 🌐 This modified CIL front-end produces the C + ACSL abstract syntax tree (AST), an abstract view of the program shared among all analyzers.
- 🌐 Analyzers are developed as separate plug-ins on top of the kernel.
- 🌐 Plug-ins are dynamically linked against the kernel to offer new analyses, or to modify existing ones.
- 🌐 Any plug-in can register new services in a plug-in database stored in the kernel, thereby making these services available to all plug-ins.



- 🌐 ACSL (ANSI/ISO C Specification Language) is a behavioral interface specification language implemented in the Frama-C framework.
- 🌐 It allows for formally specifying the behavioral properties of a C program, in order to be able to formally verify the implementation with respect to these properties.
- 🌐 ACSL was inspired by and resembles JML.

```
1  /*@ ensures \result >= x && \result >= y;  
2      ensures \result == x || \result == y;  
3  */  
4  int max (int x, int y) { return (x > y) ? x : y; }
```

- So, ACSL annotations are written in special C comments, the difference with plain comments being that annotations begin with `/*@`.
- It is also possible to write one-line annotations introduced by `//@`.
- The “function contract” is written immediately above the function declaration.
- In this example, the contract contains only post-conditions (`ensures` clauses), as `max` does not have any particular requirement.
- The keyword `\result` denotes the returned value of the function.

- 🌐 Complete specification
Any function that satisfies the specification should be deemed a satisfactory implementation.
- 🌐 Partial specification
Partial specifications express some of the properties that are expected to hold for any implementation.
- 🌐 Generally speaking, partial formal specifications are the most likely to be encountered in practice for real-life examples.

An Example of Partial Specification

```
1 /*@ requires \valid(p) && \valid(q);
2     ensures *p <= *q;
3 */
4 void max_ptr(int *p, int *q)
5 {
6     *p = *q = 0;
7 }
```

- 🌐 The `requires` clause specifies the pre-condition.
- 🌐 The predicate `\valid(p)` indicates that the address `p` is included in an allocated block which is large enough to store an `int` starting at `p`.
- 🌐 The partial specification may be met by an unwanted implementation.

```
1  /*@ requires n > 0;  
2      requires \valid(p+ (0..n-1));  
3      ensures \forallall int i; 0 <= i <= n - 1 ==> \result >= p[i];  
4      ensures \exists int e; 0 <= e <= n - 1 && \result == p[e];  
5  */  
6  int max_seq(int * p, int n) {  
7      int res = *p;  
8      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
9          if (res < *p) { res = *p; }  
10         p++;  
11     }  
12     return res;  
13 }
```

Problem: the specification does not prevent the implementation from altering the integer array.


```
1  /*@ requires n > 0;
2     requires \valid(p+ (0..n-1));
3     ensures \forallall int i; 0 <= i <= n - 1 ==> p[i] == \old(p[i]);
4     ensures \forallall int i; 0 <= i <= n - 1 ==> \result >= p[i];
5     ensures \exists int e; 0 <= e <= n - 1 && \result == p[e];
6  */
7  int max_seq(int * p, int n) {
8      int res = *p;
9      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
10         if (res < *p) { res = *p; }
11         p++;
12     }
13     return res;
14 }
```

- 🌐 The red line ensures that the content of the integer array is not modified.
- 🌐 The built-in logic function `\old` gets the old (i.e., before the call) value of a given element.

```
1 /*@ requires \valid(p) && \valid(q);  
2     assigns *p, *q;  
3 */  
4 void swap (int *p, int *q) {  
5     int tmp = *p;  
6     *p = *q;  
7     *q = tmp;  
8     return;  
9 }
```

- When no **assigns** clauses are specified, the function is allowed to modify any visible variable.
- If there are **assigns** clauses specified, the function can only modify the content of the locations that are explicitly mentioned in the clauses.

```

1  /*@ requires n > 0;
2     requires \valid(p+ (0..n-1));
3     assigns \nothing;
4     ensures \forall int i; 0 <= i <= n - 1 ==> \result >= p[i];
5     ensures \exists int e; 0 <= e <= n - 1 && \result == p[e];
6  */
7  int max_seq(int * p, int n) {
8      int res = *p;
9      for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
10         if (res < *p) { res = *p; }
11         p++;
12     }
13     return res;
14 }

```

The red line ensures that the integer array p and the integer n are not modified.

```
1 int max_seq (int* p, int n) {  
2     int res = *p;  
3     /*@ loop invariant  
4         \forall integer j; 0 <= j <= i ==> res >= *(p + j);  
5     */  
6     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
7         if (res < *p) { res = *p; }  
8         p++;  
9     }  
10    return res;  
11 }
```

- 🌐 Recall (as a special case of a theorem we studied earlier) that, if $P \wedge B \Rightarrow wlp(S, P)$, then $P \Rightarrow wlp(\text{do } B \rightarrow S \text{ od}, P \wedge \neg B)$.
- 🌐 P here is usually called an *inductive* loop invariant.

- Termination is guaranteed by attaching a measure function to each loop and each recursive function.
- Loops are annotated by “`//@ loop variant e;`”
For each iteration, the value of e at the end of the iteration must be smaller than its value at the beginning. Its value at the beginning must be nonnegative.
- Functions are annotated by “`//@ decreases e;`”
Each function must be annotated with the same decreases clause. Any recursive call must occur in a state where the measure is smaller than the measure in the pre-state.
- Functions can also be annotated by “`//@ terminates p;`”
If p holds, the function is guaranteed to terminate.

```
1 /*@ assigns \nothing
2    terminates c > 0;
3 */
4 void f (int c) {
5     while (!c)
6         ;
7     return ;
8 }
```

The function f can be called with any argument c , but the function is not guaranteed to terminate if $c \leq 0$.

```
1 /*@ requires n >= 0 && n < 100;  
2 */  
3 int f (int n) {  
4     int tmp = 100 - n;  
5     //@ assert tmp > 0;  
6     //@ assert tmp < 100;  
7     return tmp;  
8 }
```

-  An annotation “assert p ,” means that p must hold in the current state.
-  Assertions are useful to provide hints for the generation of verification conditions.

```
1  typedef enum { Max, Min } kind;  
2  
3  /*@ requires k == Max || k == Min;  
4      assigns \nothing;  
5      ensures \result == x || \result == y;  
6      ensures k == Max ==> \result >= x && \result >= y;  
7      ensures k == Min ==> \result <= x && \result <= y;  
8  */  
9  int extremum (kind k, int x, int y) {  
10     return ((k == Max ? x > y : x < y) ? x : y);  
11 }
```

This specification may not be clear to say that `extremum` has two distinct modes of operation.

Behaviors allow us to specify the different cases for postconditions.

```
1 /*@ requires k == Max || k == Min;  
2    assigns \nothing;  
3    ensures \result == x || \result == y;  
4    behavior is_max:  
5        assumes k == Max;  
6        ensures \result >= x && \result >= y;  
7    behavior is_min:  
8        assumes k == Min;  
9        ensures \result <= x && \result <= y;  
10   complete behaviors is_max, is_min;  
11   disjoint behaviors is_max, is_min;  
12 */  
13 int extremum (kind k, int x, int y);
```

- 🌐 Predicates and functions provided by ACSL: `\valid`, `\separated`, `\old`, `\at`, etc.
- 🌐 Logical types provided by ACSL: `integer`, `real`, etc.
Mathematical integers and reals are more general than `ints` and `floats` in C.
- 🌐 User-defined predicates and functions

```
1 /*@
2   predicate unchanged{L0, L1}(int* i) =
3     \at(*i, L0) == \at(*i, L1);
4 */
```

```
1 /*@
2   logic integer ax_b(integer a, integer x, integer b) =
3     a * x + b;
4 */
```

- 🌐 Lemmas: user-specified properties about predicates and functions

- 🌐 Lemmas can be proved in isolation of the rest of the proof of a program by automatic or (more often) interactive provers.
- 🌐 Once the proof is done, the information that it states can be safely used to simplify the reasoning in other, more complex proofs, without having to prove it again.

```

1  /*@
2    lemma lt_plus_lt:
3      \forall integer i, j ; i < j ==> i+1 < j+1;
4  */
    
```

Inductive predicates give a way to state properties whose verification requires reasoning by induction.

```
1  /*@
2    inductive zeroed{L}(int* a, integer b, integer e){
3      case zeroed_empty{L}:
4        \forall int* a, integer b, e; b >= e ==> zeroed{L}(a,b,e);
5      case zeroed_range{L}:
6        \forall int* a, integer b, e; b < e ==>
7          zeroed{L}(a,b,e-1) && a[e-1] == 0 ==> zeroed{L}(a,b,e);
8    }
9  */
```

So, for instance, $\text{zeroed}(A, 0, 6)$ asserts that array A contains only 0's in $A[0..5]$ (where 5 comes from $6 - 1$).

```
1  /*@
2    requires n >= 1 && \valid(array + (0 .. n-1));
3    assigns array[0 .. n-1];
4    ensures zeroed(array,0,n);
5  */
6  void reset(int* array, int n){
7    /*@
8      loop invariant 0 <= i <= n;
9      loop invariant zeroed(array,0,i);
10     loop assigns i, array[0 .. n-1];
11     loop variant n-i;
12   */
13   for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
14     array[i] = 0;
15 }
```

Instead of defining directly a function or predicate, an axiomatic definition declares it and then defines axioms that specify its behavior.

```
1  /*@
2    axiomatic A_all_zeros{
3      predicate zeroed{L}(int* a, integer b, integer e)
4        reads a[b .. e-1];
5
6      axiom zeroed_empty{L}:
7        \forall int* a, integer b, e; b >= e ==> zeroed{L}(a,b,e);
8
9      axiom zeroed_range{L}:
10       \forall int* a, integer b, e; b < e ==>
11         zeroed{L}(a,b,e-1) && a[e-1] == 0 ==> zeroed{L}(a,b,e);
12     }
13  */
```

Ghost Variables

Ghost variables and statements are like C variables and statements, but visible only in the specification.

```
1  int max_seq (int* p, int n) {
2      int res = *p;
3      /*@ ghost int e = 0;
4          loop invariant \forall int j;
5              0 <= j <= i ==> res >= p[j];
6          loop invariant
7              \valid(\at(p, Pre)+e) && \at(p, Pre)[e] == res;
8          ....
9      */
10     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
11         if (res < *p) {
12             res = *p;
13             //@ ghost e = i;
14         }
15         p++;
16     }
17     return res;
18 }
```

-  The Frama-C Website: <https://www.frama-c.com/>
-  V. Prevosto. *ACSL Mini-Tutorial*, CEA LIST and INRIA, 2013.
-  A. Blanchard. *Introduction to C program proof with Frama-C and its WP plugin*, Creative Commons, 2020.