

Homework Assignment #9

Due Time/Date

This assignment is due 2:10PM Tuesday, June 1, 2021. Late submission will be penalized by 20% for each working day overdue.

How to Submit

Please use a word processor or scan hand-written answers to produce a single PDF file. Name your file according to this pattern: “b067050xx-hw9”. Upload the PDF file to the NTU COOL course site for Theory of Computing 2021. You may discuss the problems with others, but copying answers is strictly forbidden.

Problems

(Note: problems marked with “Exercise X.XX” or “Problem X.XX” are taken from [Sipser 2013] with probable adaptation.)

1. (Problem 4.12; 10 points) Let A be a Turing-recognizable language consisting of descriptions of Turing machines, $\{\langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle, \dots\}$, where every M_i is a decider. Prove that some decidable language D is not decided by any decider M_i whose description appears in A . (Hint: you may find it helpful to consider an enumerator for A .)
2. (Problem 4.14; 20 points) Let $C = \{\langle G, x \rangle \mid G \text{ is a CFG and } x \text{ is a substring of some } y \in L(G)\}$. Show that C is decidable. (Hint: an elegant solution to this problem uses the decider for E_{CFG} .)
3. (Problem 4.22; 20 points) Let A and B be two disjoint languages. Say that language C separates A and B if $A \subseteq C$ and $B \subseteq \overline{C}$. Show that any two disjoint co-Turing-recognizable languages are separable by some decidable language.
4. (Problem 4.31; 20 points) Let $INFINITE_{\text{PDA}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a PDA and } L(M) \text{ is infinite}\}$. Show that $INFINITE_{\text{PDA}}$ is decidable.
5. (Exercise 5.1; 10 points) Show that EQ_{CFG} is undecidable.
6. (Exercise 5.4; 20 points) If A is reducible to B and B is a regular language, does that imply that A is a regular language? Why or why not?