

## Homework Assignment #1

### Note

This assignment is due 2:10PM Tuesday, March 7, 2017. Please write or type your answers on A4 (or similar size) paper. Drop your homework by the due time in Yih-Kuen Tsay's mail box on the first floor of Management College Building 2. Late submission will be penalized by 20% for each working day overdue. You may discuss the problems with others, but copying answers is strictly forbidden.

### Problems

There are five problems in this assignment, each accounting for 20 points. You must use *induction* for all proofs. (Note: problems marked with "(X.XX)" are taken from [Manber 1989] with probable adaptation.)

- (2.10) Find an expression for the sum of the  $i$ -th row of the following triangle, which is called the **Pascal triangle**, and prove *by induction* the correctness of your claim. The sides of the triangle are 1s, and each other entry is the sum of the two entries immediately above it.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & & & & & 1 \\
 & & & & 1 & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\
 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & \\
 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 
 \end{array}$$

- (2.14) Consider the following series: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 40, ..., which starts as an arithmetic series, but after the first 5 terms becomes a geometric series. Prove that any positive integer can be written as a sum of distinct numbers from this series.
- (2.7) Given a set of  $n + 1$  numbers out of the first  $2n$  (starting from 1) natural numbers 1, 2, 3, ...,  $2n$ , prove *by induction* that there are two numbers in the set, one of which divides the other.
- (2.37) Consider the recurrence relation for Fibonacci numbers  $F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$ . Without solving this recurrence, compare  $F(n)$  to  $G(n)$  defined by the recurrence  $G(n) = G(n-1) + G(n-2) + 1$ . It seems obvious that  $G(n) > F(n)$  (because of the extra 1). Yet the following is a seemingly valid proof (by induction) that  $G(n) = F(n) - 1$ . We assume, by induction, that  $G(k) = F(k) - 1$  for all  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and we consider  $G(n+1)$ :

$$G(n+1) = G(n) + G(n-1) + 1 = F(n) - 1 + F(n-1) - 1 + 1 = F(n+1) - 1$$

What is wrong with this proof?

- The set of all binary trees that store non-negative integer key values may be defined inductively as follows.
  - The empty tree, denoted  $\perp$ , is a binary tree.
  - If  $t_l$  and  $t_r$  are binary trees, then  $node(k, t_l, t_r)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \geq 0$ , is also a binary tree.

So, for instance,  $node(2, \perp, \perp)$  is a single-node binary tree storing key value 2 and  $node(2, node(1, \perp, \perp), \perp)$  is a binary tree with two nodes — the root and its left child, storing key values 2 and 1 respectively. Pictorially, they may be depicted as below.



- (a) Define inductively a function  $SUM$  that computes the sum of all key values of a binary tree. Let  $SUM(\perp) = 0$ , though the empty tree does not store any key value.
- (b) Suppose, to differentiate the empty tree from a tree whose key values sum up to 0, we require that  $SUM(\perp) = -1$ . Give another definition for  $SUM$  that meets this requirement; again, induction should be used somewhere in the definition.