

Suggested Solutions to Homework Assignment #1B

1. Exercise problems from [Stallings 2011]:

5.1 We want to show that $d(x) = a(x) \times b(x) \bmod (x^4 + 1) = 1$. Substituting into Equation (5.12) in Appendix 5A, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_3 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 02 & 03 & 01 & 01 \\ 01 & 02 & 03 & 01 \\ 01 & 01 & 02 & 03 \\ 03 & 01 & 01 & 02 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0E \\ 09 \\ 0D \\ 0B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

But this is the same set of equations discussed in the subsection on the MixColumn transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \{0E\} \cdot \{02\} \oplus \{0B\} \oplus \{0D\} \oplus (\{09\} \cdot \{03\}) &= \{01\} \\ (\{09\} \cdot \{02\}) \oplus \{0E\} \oplus \{0B\} \oplus (\{0D\} \cdot \{03\}) &= \{00\} \\ (\{0D\} \cdot \{02\}) \oplus \{09\} \oplus \{0E\} \oplus (\{0B\} \cdot \{03\}) &= \{00\} \\ (\{0B\} \cdot \{02\}) \oplus \{0D\} \oplus \{09\} \oplus (\{0E\} \cdot \{03\}) &= \{00\} \end{aligned}$$

The first equation is verified in the text. For the second equation, we have $\{09\} \cdot \{02\} = 00010010$; and $\{0D\} \cdot \{03\} = \{0D\} \oplus (\{0D\} \cdot \{02\}) = 00001101 \oplus 00011010 = 00010111$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{09\} \cdot \{02\} &= 00010010 \\ \{0E\} &= 00001110 \\ \{0B\} &= 00001011 \\ \{0D\} \cdot \{03\} &= \underline{00010111} \\ &00000000 \end{aligned}$$

For the third equation, we have $\{0D\} \cdot \{02\} = 00011010$; and $\{0B\} \cdot \{03\} = \{0B\} \oplus (\{0B\} \cdot \{02\}) = 00001011 \oplus 00010110 = 00011101$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{0D\} \cdot \{02\} &= 00011010 \\ \{09\} &= 00001001 \\ \{0E\} &= 00001110 \\ \{0B\} \cdot \{03\} &= \underline{00011101} \\ &00000000 \end{aligned}$$

For the fourth equation, we have $\{0B\} \cdot \{02\} = 00010110$; and $\{0E\} \cdot \{03\} = \{0E\} \oplus$

$(\{0E\} \cdot \{02\}) = 00001110 \oplus 00011100 = 00010010$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{0B\} \cdot \{02\} & = & 00010110 \\ \{0D\} & = & 00001101 \\ \{09\} & = & 00001001 \\ \{0E\} \cdot \{03\} & = & \underline{00010010} \\ & & 00000000 \end{array}$$

Thus, we found out $d(x) = a(x) \times b(x) \bmod (x^4 + 1) = 1$ by calculating these four equations.

5.2 a. { CA }

b. We need to show that the transformation defined by Equation 5.2, when applied to $\{53\}^{-1}$, produces the correct entry in the S-box. After converting { CA } to binary format (11001010), we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The result is 1110 1101 = {ED}, which is the same as the value for {53} in the S-box (Table 5.2a).

5.6 a. AddRoundKey

- b.** The MixColumn step, because this is where the different bytes interact with each other.
- c.** The ByteSub step, because it contributes nonlinearity to AES.
- d.** The ShiftRow step, because it permutes the bytes.
- e.** There is no wholesale swapping of rows or columns. AES does not require this step because: The MixColumn step causes every byte in a column to alter every other byte in the column, so there is not need to swap rows; The ShiftRow step moves bytes from one column to another, so there is no need to swap columns

6.4 a. The question assumes that there was an error in block C_4 of the transmitted ciphertext.

ECB mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i is used only as input for the direct decryption of plaintext block P_i . Therefore, a transmission error in block C_4 will only corrupt block P_4 of the decrypted plaintext.

CBC mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i is used as input to the XOR function when obtaining plaintext blocks P_i and P_{i+1} . Therefore, a transmission error in block C_4 will corrupt blocks P_4 and P_5 of the decrypted plaintext, but will not propagate to any of the other blocks.

CTR mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i , as well as the encrypted counter t_i , are used only as input for the direct decryption of plaintext block P_i . Therefore, a transmission error in block C_4 will only corrupt block P_4 of the decrypted plaintext.

- b. The question assumes that the ciphertext contains N blocks, and that there was a bit error in the source version of P_3 .

ECB mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i is generated by direct encryption of plaintext block P_i , independent of the other plaintext or ciphertext blocks. Therefore, a bit error in block P_3 will only affect ciphertext block C_3 and will not propagate further. Thus, only one ciphertext block will be corrupted.

CBC mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i is generated by XORing plaintext block P_i with ciphertext block C_{i-1} . Therefore, a bit error in block P_3 will affect ciphertext block C_3 , which in turn will affect ciphertext block C_4 and so forth, and therefore the error will propagate through all remaining ciphertext blocks. Thus, $N - 2$ ciphertext block will be corrupted.

CTR mode: In this mode, ciphertext block C_i is generated by applying the XOR function to plaintext block P_i and the encrypted counter t_i , independent of the other plaintext or ciphertext blocks. Therefore, a bit error in block P_3 will only affect ciphertext block P_3 and will not propagate further. Thus, only one ciphertext block will be corrupted.

- 6.7** For this padding method, the padding bits can be removed unambiguously, provided the receiver can determine that the message is indeed padded. One way to ensure that the receiver does not mistakenly remove bits from an unpadded message is to require the sender to pad every message, including messages in which the final block is already complete. For such messages, an entire block of padding is appended.

6.11

- a. CTS is the same as CBC, except for the last two blocks. P_{N-1} is encrypted as usual for CBC, but the result of this encryption is split into two parts: the prefix is used as C_N , while the remaining bits (X) are used in the encryption of P_N into C_{N-1} but are not returned. Since the X bits are used in future encryption stages they can be retrieved during decryption, but the ciphertext remains the same length as the original plaintext (unlike with simple padding schemes). This is useful when we wish the ciphertext to fit in the same buffer as the plaintext did.
- b. **Decrypting C_{N-1} :** Assume the message length is $(\text{block_size} * i - j)$. After passing

C_{N-1} through the encryption/decryption box using K , denote the result as Z . We XOR the (block_size-j) prefix bits of Z with C_N , and that gives us P_N .

Decrypting C_N : After the above procedure, concatenate the j postfix bits of Z at the end of C_N , and pass these through the encryption/decryption box using K . The result is XORed with C_{N-2} and P_{N-1} is the result.

- c. The specific value of the padding of P_N is not important, as long as the entity decrypting the message knows what the padding is. Thus 1's or a key prefix would not obstruct decryption. Using 0's is just the simplest option since it leaves the X bits as they were.

14.1 a. With this scheme, the following steps occur:

- 1) A sends a connection request to B, with an event marker or nonce (N_a) encrypted with the key that A shares with the KDC.
- 2) If B is prepared to accept the connection, it sends a request to the KDC for a session key, including A's encrypted nonce plus a nonce generated by B (N_b) and encrypted with the key that B shares with the KDC.
- 3) Since the KDC shares the unique secret key with each other, it can decrypt these two blocks and knows A and B are authentic. After that, the KDC returns two encrypted blocks to B. One block is intended for B and includes the session key, A's identifier, and B's nonce. A similar block is prepared for A and passed from the KDC to B and then to A.
- 4) B can decrypt the first block, so B can know that A is authentic. B then send the second block to A.
- 5) A can decrypt this block, so A can know that B is authentic. A and B have now securely obtained the session key and, because of the nonces, are assured that the other is authentic.

- b. The proposed scheme appears to provide the same degree of security as that of Figure 14.3. One advantage of the proposed scheme is that, in the event that B rejects a connection, the overhead of an interaction with the KDC is avoided.

14.2 The suspect Z might get all the documents with the following steps:

- 1) Z sends to the server the source name A , the destination name Z (his own), and $E(K_a, R)$, as if A wanted to send him the same message encrypted under the same key R as A did it with B .
- 2) The server will respond by sending $E(K_z, R)$ to A and Z will intercept that.
- 3) Because Z knows his key K_z , he can decrypt $E(K_z, R)$, thus he can use R to decrypt $E(R, M)$ and obtain M .

2. In the CTR mode, the seed value (V) will be incremented by 1 after each encryption. Thanks to the invertibility of the encryption algorithm, different values of V give rise to different pseudorandom bits. Only when the value of V loops back to the initial value, the whole stream will repeat. V has 2^{128} possible values, each producing 128 pseudorandom bits. So, the period of the pseudorandom bit stream is 128×2^{128} bits long.
3. To be completed.