

Programming Languages Introduction (Based on [Sethi 1996])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

Department of Information Management National Taiwan University

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction

Programming Languages 2012 1 / 12

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

What They Are



Programming languages are notations for specifying, organizing, and reasoning about computations.

- 😚 According to Stroustrup, a programming language is
 - 🏓 a tool for instructing machines,
 - 🏓 a means for communicating between programmers,
 - a vehicle for expressing high-level designs,
 - 👂 a notation for algorithms,
 - 🌻 a way of expressing relationships between concepts,
 - a tool for experimentation, and
 - i means for controlling computerized devices.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Machines, Machine Language, and Assembly Language

- Programming languages were invented to make machines easier to use.
- Machine computations are low level, more about the inner workings of the machine rather than what the computation is for.
- Machine language is the native language to which a computer responds directly.
- However, programs in machine language (consisting only of 0's and 1's) is unintelligible to a human.
- Assembly language is a variant of machine language in which names and symbols take the place of the actual codes for machine operations, values, and storage locations.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆圖▶ ◆圖▶ ─ 圖

Assembly Code

Program

- 1: M[0] := 0
- 2: read(M[1])
- 3: if $M[1] \ge 0$ then goto 5
- 4: goto 7
- 5: M[3] := M[0] M[1]
- 6: if $M[3] \ge 0$ then goto 16
- 7: write(M[1])
- 8 : read(M[2])
- 9: M[3] := M[2] M[1]
- 10: if $M[3] \ge 0$ then goto 12
- 11: **goto** 14
- 12: M[3] := M[1] M[2]
- 13 : if $M[3] \ge 0$ then goto 8
- 14: M[1] := M[2] + M[0]
- 15: goto 3
- 16: halt







Assembly Code (cont.)



If we are allowed the following conditionals, the code can become more readable.

- if M[j] = 0 then goto i
- if M[j] = M[k] then goto *i*

Program

- 1: M[0] := 0
- 2 : read(M[1])
- 3: if M[1] = 0 then goto 9
- 4 : write(M[1])
- 5: read(M[2])
- 6: **if** M[2] = M[1] **then goto** 5
- 7: M[1] := M[2] + M[0]
- 8: **goto** 3
- 9: halt

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Toward Higher-Level Languages



Language designers seek a balance between two goals:

- making computing convenient for people
- making efficient use of computing machines
- S Convenience comes first. Without it, efficiency is irrelevant.
- Programming languages were invented to make machines easier to use. They thrive because they make problems easier to solve.
- Programming languages are designed to be both higher level and general purpose.
 - A language is *higher level* if it is independent of the underlying machine.
 - A language is general purpose if it can be applied to a wide range of problems.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆圖▶ ◆圖▶ ─ 圖



Higher-level languages have replaced machine language and assembly language in virtually all areas of programming, because they provide benefits like the following:

- 😚 Readable, familiar notations
- Machine independence (portability)
- Availability of program libraries
- S Consistency checks during implementation that can detect errors

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Problems of Scale



- The problems of programming are ones of scale.
- 😚 Any one change to a program is easy to make.
- But, the effect of a change can ripple through the program, perhaps introducing errors or bugs into some forgotten corner.
- Programming languages can help in two ways:
 - Their readable and compact notations reduce the likelihood of errors.
 - They provide ways of organizing computations so that they can be understood one piece at a time.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Problems of Scale (cont.)



- Code inspection and program testing are two common techniques for detecting program errors.
- But as Dijkstra said, program testing can be used to show the presence of bugs, but never to show their absence.
- We must organize the computations in such a way that our limited powers are sufficient to guarantee that the computation will establish the desired effect.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Programming Paradigms



😚 Imperative Programming

Imperative languages are action oriented; that is, a computation is viewed as a sequence of actions. They include Fortran, Algol, Pascal, C, etc.

📀 Functional Programming

Simply put, functional programming is programming without assignments. Functional programming languages include Lisp, Scheme, ML, etc.

📀 Object-Oriented Programming

Central to object-oriented programming is the concept of objects and their classification into classes and subclasses. Object-oriented programming languages include Smalltalk, C++, Java, etc.

📀 Concurrent Programming

😚 Logic Programming

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Language Implementation



There are two basic approaches to implementing a program in a higher-level language:

📀 Compilation

The language is brought down to the level of the machine, using a translator called a *compiler*.

훳 Interpretation

The machine is brought up to the level of the language, by building a higher-level machine called an *interpreter*.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Compilation vs. Interpretation



- Compilation is biased toward static properties, while interpretation can deal with dynamic properties. They can be compared as follows.
- 😚 Compilation can be more efficient than interpretation.
 - Unlike a compiler, which translates the source program once and for all, an interpreter examines the program repeatedly.
- lnterpretation can be more flexible than compilation.
 - An interpreter allows programs to be changed "on the fly" to add features or correct errors.
 - It can also pinpoint an error in the source text and report it accurately.