

Web Application Security and Its Verification

Yih-Kuen Tsay
Dept. of Information Management
National Taiwan University

Joint work with Chen-I Chung, Chih-Pin Tai,
Chen-Ming Yao, Rui-Yuan Yeh, and Sheng-Feng Yu



Caveats


- Concern only with security problems resulted from **program defects** (errors or bad practices)
- Will mostly assume using PHP, though there are many languages for programming the Web
- General interpretation of “Verification”
 - Testing and simulation
 - Formal verification
 - Static analysis
 - Model checking
 - Theorem proving
 - Manual code review

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References



How the Web Works



Note: cookies or the equivalent are typically used for maintaining sessions.

Web Applications

- *Web applications* refer mainly to the application programs running on the server.
- Part of a Web application may run on the client.
- Together, they make the Web **interactive**, **convenient**, and **versatile**.
- Online activities enabled by Web applications:
 - Hotel/transportation reservation,
 - Banking, social networks, etc.
- As required by these activities, Web applications often involve user's **private and confidential data**.



Web Applications: Dynamic Contents

```
<?
$link = mysql_connect('localhost','username','password'); // connect to database
$db = mysql_select_db('dbname',$link);

fixInput(); // invoke a user-defined function to sanitize all inputs

$user=$_POST['account'];

// fetch and display account information
$query="SELECT id, name, description FROM project WHERE
        user_account=' ".$user." ' ";
$query_result = mysql_query($query);
while ($result=mysql_fetch_row($query_result)) {
    echo '<table>';
    echo '<tr>';
        echo '<td width="100px">'.$result[0].'
```



Web Applications: Client-Side Script

```
<html>
<head>
    <title>Example 2</title>
    <script type='text/javascript'>
        function submit_form(){

            if(document.getElementById('user_account').value!=""){
                document.getElementById('project_form').submit();
            }

        }
    </script>
</head>
<body>
    <form id='project_form' action='my_project.php' method='POST'>
        <input type='text' name='user_account' id='user_account' />
        <input type='button' value='OK' onclick='submit_form();' />
        <input type='reset' value='Reset' />
    </form>
</body>
</html>
```



Vulnerable Web Applications

- Web applications are supposed to be secure.
- Unfortunately, many of them do go wrong, having **security vulnerabilities** that **may be exploited** by the attacker.
- Most security vulnerabilities are a result of **bad programming practices** or **programming errors**.
- The possible damages:
 - Your personal data get stolen.
 - Your website gets infected or sabotaged.
 - These may bare financial or legal consequences.


A Common Vulnerability: SQL Injection

- User's inputs are used as parts of an SQL query, without being checked/validated.
- Attackers may **exploit** the vulnerability to read, update, create, or delete arbitrary data in the database.
- Example (display all users' information):
 - Relevant code in a vulnerable application:

```
$sql = "SELECT * FROM users WHERE id = '' . $_GET['id'] . """;
```
 - The attacker types in **a' OR 't' = 't** as the input for id.
 - The actual query executed:

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE id = 'a' OR 't' = 't';
```
 - So, the attacker gets to see every row from the users table.

SQL Injection (cont.)





Compromised Websites

- Compromised legitimate websites can introduce malware and scams.
- Compromised sites of 2010 include
 - the European site of popular tech blog TechCrunch,
 - news outlets like the Jerusalem Post, and
 - local government websites like that of the U.K.'s Somerset County Council.
- 30,000 new malicious URLs every day.
- More than 70% of these are legitimate websites that have been hacked or compromised.

Source: Sophos security threat report 2011

Compromised Websites (cont.)

- Criminals gain access to the data on a legitimate site and subvert it to their own ends.
- They achieve this by
 - exploiting vulnerabilities in the software that power the sites or
 - by stealing access credentials from malware-infected machines.

Source: Sophos security threat report 2011

Prevention

- Properly configure the server
- Use secure application interfaces
- Validate (sanitize) all inputs from the user and even the database
- Apply detection/verification tools and repair errors before deployment
 - Commercial tools
 - Free tools from research laboratories

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References

OWASP Top 10 Application Security Risks

- Injection
- Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
- Broken Authentication and Session Management
- Insecure Direct Object Reference
- Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
- Security Misconfiguration
- Insecure Cryptographic Storage
- Failure to Restrict URL Access
- Insufficient Transport Layer Protection
- Unvalidated Redirects and Forwards



What Changed from 2007 to 2010

OWASP Top 10 – 2007 (Previous)	OWASP Top 10 – 2010 (New)
A2 – Injection Flaws	A1 – Injection
A1 – Cross Site Scripting (XSS)	A2 – Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
A7 – Broken Authentication and Session Management	A3 – Broken Authentication and Session Management
A4 – Insecure Direct Object Reference	A4 – Insecure Direct Object References
A5 – Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	A5 – Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
<was T10 2004 A10 – Insecure Configuration Management>	A6 – Security Misconfiguration (NEW)
A8 – Insecure Cryptographic Storage	A7 – Insecure Cryptographic Storage
A10 – Failure to Restrict URL Access	A8 – Failure to Restrict URL Access
A9 – Insecure Communications	A9 – Insufficient Transport Layer Protection
<not in T10 2007>	A10 – Unvalidated Redirects and Forwards (NEW)
A3 – Malicious File Execution	<dropped from T10 2010>
A6 – Information Leakage and Improper Error Handling	<dropped from T10 2010>

SQL Injection (cont.)

■ Example (forget password):

Forgot Password

Email:

We will send your account information to your email address.

relevant code:

```
$sql = "SELECT login_id, passwd, full_name, email  
        FROM users  
        WHERE email = '' . $_GET['email'] . """;
```

- The attacker may set things up to steal the account of Bob (bob@example.com) by fooling the server to execute:

```
SELECT login_id, passwd, full_name, email  
FROM users  
WHERE email = 'x';  
UPDATE users  
SET email = 'evil@attack.com'  
WHERE email = 'bob@example.com';
```



Defenses against SQL Injection in PHP

- Sources (where tainted data come from)
 - `$_GET`, `$_POST`, `$_SERVER`, `$_COOKIE`, `$_FILE`,
`$_REQUEST`, `$_SESSION`
- Sinks (where tainted data should not be used)
 - `mysql_query()`, `mysql_create_db()`, `mysql_db_query()`,
`mysql_drop_db()`, `mysql_unbuffered_query()`
- Defenses
 - Parameter: `magic_quotes_gpc`
 - Built-in function: `addslashes`
 - Prepared statements (for database accesses)



Defenses against SQL Injection (cont.)

- Set the `magic_quotes_gpc` parameter on in the PHP configuration file.
 - When the parameter is on, ' (single-quote), " (double quote), \ (backslash) and *NULL* characters are escaped with a backslash automatically.
- Built-in function: `addslashes(string $str)`
 - The same effect as setting `magic_quotes_gpc` on

```
<?php
$str = "Is your name O'Brien?";
echo addslashes($str);
// Output: Is your name O\'Brien?
?>
```



Defenses against SQL Injection (cont.)

■ Prepared statements

- Set up a statement once, and then execute it many times with different parameters.
- Example:


```
$db_connection = new mysqli("localhost", "user", "pass", "db");
$statement = $db_connection->prepare("SELECT * FROM users WHERE id
= ?");
$statement->bind_param("i", $id);
$statement->execute(); ...
```

- The ? is called a placeholder.
- To execute the above query, one needs to supply the actual value for ?.
- The first argument of bind_param() is the input's type: i for int, s for string, d for double


Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

- The server sends unchecked/unvalidated data to user's browser.
- Attackers may exploit the vulnerability to execute client-side scripts to:
 - Hijack user sessions
 - Deface websites
 - Conduct phishing attacks
- Types of cross-site scripting :
 - Stored XSS
 - Reflected XSS

Stored XSS



Reflected XSS



Defenses against Cross-Site Scripting in PHP

- Sources (assumption: the database is not tainted)
 - `$_GET`, `$_POST`, `$_SERVER`, `$_COOKIE`, `$_FILE`, `$_REQUEST`,
`$_SESSION`
- More Sources (assumption: the database is tainted)
 - `mysql_fetch_array()`, `mysql_fetch_field()`,
`mysql_fetch_object()`, `mysql_fetch_row()`, ...
- Sinks
 - `echo`, `printf`, ...
- Defenses
 - `htmlspecialchars()`
 - `htmlentities()`



Defenses against Cross-Site Scripting (cont.)

- Built-in function: `htmlspecialchars(string $str [, int $quote_style = ENT_COMPAT])`
 - Convert special characters to HTML entities
 - '&' (ampersand) becomes '&'
 - '"' (double quote) becomes '"' when **ENT_NOQUOTES** is not set.
 - '\'' (single quote) becomes ''' only when **ENT_QUOTES** is set.
 - '<' (less than) becomes '<'
 - '>' (greater than) becomes '>'

```
<?php
$new = htmlspecialchars("<a href='test'>Test</a>", ENT_QUOTES);
echo $new; // &lt;a href=&#039;test&#039;&gt;Test&lt;/a&gt;
?>
```



Defenses against Cross-Site Scripting (cont.)

- Built-in function: `htmlentities(string $string [, int $quote_style = ENT_COMPAT])`
 - the same effect with built-in function: `htmlspecialchars()`

```
<?php
$orig = "I'll \"walk\" the <b>dog</b> now";
$a = htmlentities($orig);
$b = html_entity_decode($a);
echo $a; // I'll "walk" the <b>dog</b> now
echo $b; // I'll "walk" the <b>dog</b> now
?>
```

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References

Current Status


- Most known Web application security vulnerabilities can be fixed.
- There are code analysis tools that can help to detect such security vulnerabilities.
- So, what are the problems?

An Example

PHP code

```
01 <?php
02     $id = $_POST["id"];
03     $dept = $_POST["dept"];
04     if ($dept == 0) {           //guest
05         echo "Hello! guest";
06         displayWelcomePage();
07     }
08     else {                     // staff
09         if ($id == "admin") {
10             echo "Hello! ".$id;
11             displayManagementFun();
12         }
13         else {
14             echo "Hello! ".$dept.$id;
15             displayBasicFun();
16         }
17     }
18 ?>
```

Control Flow Graph





Dependency Graph (1/3)

```
02: $id = $_POST["id"];
03: $dept = $_POST["dept"];
```

\$dept == 0

```
05: echo "Hello! guest";
06: displayWelcomePage();
```

True

Exit

\$_POST["dept"], 3

\$_POST["id"], 2

"Hello! Guest", 5

\$dept, 3

\$id , 2

Untainted


Tainted

Tainted

echo, 5



Untainted

Dependency Graph (2/3)



Note: a better analysis would take into account $\$id == \text{"admin"}$.

Dependency Graph (3/3)



Alias


PHP code

```

01 <?php
02     $a = "message";
03     $b = &$a;
04     $a= $_GET["msg"];
05     echo $b;
06 ?>

```

Dependency Graph



Alias Information

must-alias{(a,b)}



Detecting Vulnerabilities by Taint Analysis

- Build control and data flow graphs.
- All inputs from a *source* are considered **tainted**.
- Data that depend on tainted data are also considered tainted.
- Some functions may be designated as **sanitization** functions (for particular security vulnerabilities).
- Values returned from a sanitization function are considered clean or untainted.
- Report vulnerabilities when tainted values are used in a *sink*.




Problems and Objectives

- Three problems (among others) remain:
 - Existing code analysis tools report **too many false positives**.
 - They rely on the programmer to ensure correctness of sanitization functions.
 - Many report **false negatives** in some cases.
 - Web application languages/frameworks are numerous and hard to catch up.
- We aim to solve the first three problems and alleviate the fourth.



Use of a Code Analysis Tool



Note: fewer false positives means less workload for the human reviewer.
Note: there may be possible feedback loops between two tasks.

Challenges

- Dynamic features of scripting languages popular for Web application development such as PHP:
 - Dynamic typing
 - Dynamic code generation and inclusion
- Other difficult language features:
 - Aliases and hash tables
 - Strings and numerical quantities
- Interactions between client-side code, server-side code, databases, and system configurations
- Variation in browser and server behaviors



Challenges: Alias Analysis

- In PHP, aliases may be introduced by using the reference operator “&”.

PHP Code

```
<?php
    $a="test"; // $a: untainted
    $b=&$a; // $a, $b: untainted
    $a= $_GET["msg"]; // $a ,$b: tainted.
    echo $b; // XSS vulnerability
?>
```

PHP Code

```
<?php
    $a="test"; // $a: untainted
    $b=&$a; // $a, $b: untainted
    grade();
    function grade()
    {
        $a=$_GET["msg"]; // $a , $b: tainted.
    }
    echo $b; ?> // XSS vulnerability
```

- ❑ Tool A: false negative
- ❑ Tool B: true positive

- ❑ Tool A: false negative
- ❑ Tool B: false negative

Note: Tool A and Tool B are two popular commercial code analysis tools.

Challenges: Alias Analysis (cont.)

- None of the existing tools (that we have tested) handles aliases between objects.

PHP Code

```
<?php
class car{
    var $color;
    function set_color($c){
        $this->color = $c;
    }
}
$mymcar = new car;
$mymcar->set_color("blue");
$a_mycar = &$mymcar;
$a_mycar->set_color
( "<script>alert('xss')</script>" );
echo $mymcar->color."<br>";
?>
```





Challenges: Strings and Numbers

```
1 if($_GET['mode'] == "add"){
2   if(!isset($_GET['msg']) || !isset($_GET['poster'])){
3     exit;
4   }
5   $my_msg = $_GET['msg'];
6   $my_poster = $_GET['poster'];
7   if (strlen($my_msg) > 100 && !ereg("script",$my_msg)){
8     echo "Thank you for posting the message $my_msg";
9   }
10 }
11 ...
```

- To exploit the XSS vulnerability at line 8, we have to generate input strings satisfying the conditions at lines 1, 2, and 7, which involve both **string** and **numeric** constraints.




Challenges: A Theoretical Limitation

- Consider the class of programs with:
 - Assignment
 - Sequencing, conditional branch, goto
 - At least three string variables
 - String concatenation (or even just appending a symbol to a string)
 - Equality testing between two string variables
- The **Reachability Problem** for this class of programs is **undecidable**.

A Challenge Case (1/10)


- This is an adaptation of a real Web application developed by senior programmers in industry.
- File organization of the Web application:





A Challenge Case (2/10)

- In the “root” directory, there is a .php file called “main”, which the user can freely request.



main.php

```
fixInputValue();


if(isset($_POST["current_page_id"]))
    $current_page_id=$_POST["current_page_id"];
else
    $current_page_id='0';

$query="select page_name from pages where
page_id=\"".$current_page_id."\"";
$query_result=mysql_query($query);
list($page_name)=mysql_fetch_row($query_result);

include("./private_dir/".$page_name.".php");
```

A Challenge Case (3/10)

- In the "private_dir" directory, there is a .htaccess file which defines access control rules.
- The content of the .htaccess file is as shown on the right, which means that no user can directly request any page contained in the "private_dir" directory.





A Challenge Case (4/10)


- In the database, there is a table called "pages" which stores the map between page_id and page_name.

Database

pages	
page_id	page_name
0	home
1	enter_name
2	say_hi
3	other_page1
4	other_page2
5	other_page3
6	other_page4
7	other_page5

A Challenge Case (5/10)

- Consider a scenario as follows.
 - Request "main.php".
 - Click the button whose value is "Go to enter_name.php".
 - Enter arbitrary string in the text box and click the "submit" button.



main.php

```
fixInputValue();

if(isset($_POST["current_page_id"]))
    $current_page_id=$_POST["current_page_id"];
else
    $current_page_id='0';

$query="select page_name from pages where page_id='".$current_page_id."'";
$query_result=mysql_query($query);
list($page_name)=mysql_fetch_row($query_result);

include("./private_dir/".$page_name.".php");
```

home.php

```
<form action="main.php" method="POST">

    <input type=hidden
        name="current_page_id" value="1">

    <input type=submit value="Go to enter_name.php">
</form>
```

home.php

```
<form action="main.php" method="POST">  
  
    <input type=hidden  
        name="current_page_id" value="1">  
  
    <input type=submit value="Go to  
        enter_name.php">  
</form>
```

enter_name.php

```
<form action="main.php" method="POST">  
  
    <input type=hidden  
        name="current_page_id" value="2">  
  
    <input type=text name="name" size=30>  
    <input type=submit value="submit">  
    <input type=reset value="reset">  
  
</form>
```

current_page_id

main.php

```
fixInputValue();  
  
if(isset($_POST["current_page_id"]))  
    $current_page_id=$_POST["current_page_id"];  
else  
    $current_page_id='0';  
  
$query="select page_name from pages where page_id='".$current_page_id."';  
$query_result=mysql_query($query);  
list($page_name)=mysql_fetch_row($query_result);  
  
include("./private_dir/".$page_name.".php");
```

enter_name.php

```
<form action="main.php" method="POST">  
  
    <input type=hidden  
        name="current_page_id" value="2">  
  
    <input type=text name="name" size=30>  
    <input type=submit value="submit">  
    <input type=reset value="reset">  
  
</form>
```

current_page_id and
other parameters


say_hi.php

```
$name=$_POST["name"];  
  
echo "Hi, ";  
echo $name;  
echo "!";
```

other parameters

main.php

```
fixInputValue();  
  
if(isset($_POST["current_page_id"]))  
    $current_page_id=$_POST["current_page_id"];  
else  
    $current_page_id='0';  
  
$query="select page_name from pages where page_id='".$current_page_id."';  
$query_result=mysql_query($query);  
list($page_name)=mysql_fetch_row($query_result);  
  
include("./private_dir/".$page_name.".php");
```



fix inputValue()

```
if(isset($_GET))    $_GET = sanitizeXSS($_GET);
if(isset($_GET))    $_GET = sanitizeSQLInjection($_GET);
if(isset($_GET))    $_GET = sanitizeSQLInjection($_GET);

.
.

if(isset($_POST))   $_POST = sanitizeXSS($_POST);
if(isset($_POST))   $_POST = sanitizeSQLInjection($_POST);
if(isset($_POST))   $_POST = sanitizeSQLInjection($_POST);

.
.
```

A Challenge Case (10/10)

- Every code analyzer that we tested reports a XSS vulnerability in "say_hi.php".
- However, the reported vulnerability doesn't actually exist because
 - "say_hi.php" can't be directly requested by users and
 - the user input always goes through the sanitization function called "fixInputValue" before it arrives at the sink in "say_hi.php".
- This false positive is due to incomplete data flow analysis.

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References

Research Opportunities

- Advanced and integrated program analyses
- Formal certification of Web applications
- Development methods (including language design) for secure Web applications
- A completely new and secure Web (beyond http-related protocols)

Business Opportunities: Code Review/Analysis Service

- This requires a combination of knowledge
 - Security domain
 - Program analysis
 - Program testing
 - Review process
- There are real and growing demands!
- A few industry and academic groups are building up their capabilities.

Toward Formal Certification

- Current commercial code analysis tools are not precise enough and rely on competence of the programmer/reviewer.
- Ideally, every sensitive Web application should go through a thorough and **formal verification/certification** process.
- To be practical, one should probably focus on the **correctness of sanitization functions** (which are functions that validate user's input).
- There are quite a few issues that need further research.

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References




CANTU (Code Analyzer from NTU)

- It is an integrated environment for analyzing Web applications.
- Main features:
 - Building on CIL, to treat different languages and frameworks
 - Dataflow analysis across client, server, database, and system configurations
 - Incorporating dynamic analysis to confirm true positives




Architecture of CANTU





Components of Static Analysis



Representing PHP Variables in CIL

```
struct array{
    struct hashtable *val;
    struct hashtable *index;
};



union mixed {
    short bval;
    long inum;
    double fnum;
    char* str;
    struct array arr;
    void* object;
    char* resource;
};

struct variable{
    enum phpt {BOOL, INT, FLOAT, STR, ARRAY, OBJECT, RESOURCE, NULLType
    } val_type;
    union mixed val;
};
```

The diagram illustrates the internal representation of PHP variables in CIL. It shows three main structures: a **struct array**, a **union mixed**, and a **struct variable**. The **array** struct contains pointers to **hashtable** structures for values and indices. The **mixed** union contains various primitive types and pointers to **array** structures. The **variable** struct contains an **enum phpt** for the value type and a **union mixed** for the value itself. Yellow arrows indicate the relationship between the **array** struct and the **mixed** union, specifically pointing to the **index** member of **array** and the **arr** member of **mixed**. A red arrow points from the **str** member of the **mixed** union to the **val** member of the **variable** struct.

Executing Generated Tests

Client



Server

runTest.php

```
/*
instrument
javascript code
...
*/
redirect to
the entry page
*/
redirect("a.php");
```

getStep.php

```
/*
Get a test step
*/
```

verify.php

```
/*
verify
*/
```

testcase1.xml

```
<TestCase>
<vulnerability>Reflected XSS
</vulnerability>
<precondition></precondition>
<scenario>
<step>
<id>1</id>
<page>a.php</page>
<action>browse</action>
<target></target>
<typingString></typingString>
</step>
...
<expectedValue>
<type>document.title</type>
<info>XSS</info>
</expectedValue>
<result></result>
</TestCase>
```

Two green arrows point from the 'runTest.php' and 'verify.php' sections to the 'testcase1.xml' section. A green arrow also points from the 'getStep.php' section to the 'testcase1.xml' section.

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Opportunities
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References

Conclusion

- Web application security has drawn much attention from the **public**, the **industry**, and the **academia**.
- Making Web applications secure requires a combination of expertise in different areas.
- This provides great opportunities for research/development collaboration.
 - CANTU represents our vision of this collaboration.
- It should also create good opportunities for starting new businesses.

Outline

- Introduction
- Common Vulnerabilities and Defenses
- Objectives and Challenges
- Our Approach: CANTU
- Conclusion
- References

Selected References

- Huang *et al.*, “**Securing Web Application Code by Static Analysis and Runtime Protection**,” *WWW* 2004.
- Minamide, “**Static Approximation of Dynamically Generated Web Pages**,” *WWW* 2005.
- Xie and Aiken, “**Static Detection of Security Vulnerabilities in Scripting Languages**,” *USENIX Security Symposium* 2006.
- Su and Wassermann, “**The Essence of Command Injection Attacks in Web Applications**,” *POPL* 2006.
- Chess and West, *Secure Programming with Static Analysis*, Pearson Education, Inc. 2007.

Selected References (cont.)

- Lam *et al.*, “**Securing Web Applications with Static and Dynamic Information Flow Tracking**,” *PEPM* 2008.
- Yu *et al.*, “**Verification of String Manipulation Programs Using Multi-Track Automata**,” Tech Report, UCSB, 2009.
- Yu *et al.*, “**Generating Vulnerability Signatures for String Manipulating Programs Using Automata-based Forward and Backward Symbolic Analyses**,” *IEEE/ACM ICASE* 2009.
- Kiezun *et al.*, “**Automatic Creation of SQL Injection and Cross-Site Scripting Attacks**,” *ICSE* 2009.
- OWASP, <http://www.owasp.org/>.
- The CVE Site, <http://cve.mitre.org/>.



Selected References (cont.)

- C.-P. Tai, *An Integrated Environment for Analyzing Web Application Security*, Master's Thesis, NTU, 2010.
- R.-Y. Yeh, *An Improved Static Analyzer for Verifying PHP Web Application Security*, Master's Thesis, NTU, 2010.
- S.-F. Yu, *Automatic Generation of Penetration Test Cases for Web Applications*, Master's Thesis, NTU, 2010.