UNITY Logic

(Based on the Modified Version in [Misra 1995])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

Dept. of Information Management
National Taiwan University



Introduction

UNITY was once quite popular. Its logic has been modified and improved in a subsequent work.

- J. Misra. A logic for concurrent programming. Journal of Computer and Software Engineering, 3(2): 239-272, 1995.
- A program consists of (1) an initial condition and (2) a set of actions (or conditional multiple-assignments), which always includes skip.
- Properties are defined in terms of
 - \circledast initially p,
 - p co q, and
 - p transient.



Program Model: Action System

- Syntax: An action system consists of
 - * a set of variables and
 - * a set of actions, always including skip (which does not change the system's state).

A particular valuation of the variables is called a system or program *state*. An action is essentially a *guarded multiple assignment* to the variables.

- Semantics:
 - A system execution starts from some initial state and goes on forever.
 - In each step of an execution, some action is selected (under some fairness constraint) and executed, resulting in a possible change of the program state.



The "Contrains" Operator

- The safety properties of a system are stated using the "contrains" (co) operator.
- " $p \operatorname{co} q$ " ($p \operatorname{constrains} q$) states that whenever p holds, q holds after the execution of any single action.
- Formally, $p \operatorname{co} q \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle \forall t :: \{p\} \ t \ \{q\} \rangle$.
- As *skip* may be applied in any state, from $p \operatorname{co} q$ it follows that $p \Rightarrow q$.
- It also follows that once p holds, q continues to hold upto (and including) the point where p ceases to hold (if it ever does).



Usages of the co

- "x = 0 co $x \ge 0$ ": once x becomes 0 it remains 0 until it becomes positive.
- " $\forall m :: x = m \text{ co } x \geq m$ ": x never decreases.This is equivalent to " $\forall m :: x \geq m \text{ co } x \geq m$ ".
- " $\forall m, n :: x, y = m, n \text{ co } x = m \lor y = n$ ": x and y never change simultaneously.



The unless Operator

• "p unless q" was introduced in the original UNITY logic as a basic safety property:

$$p \ unless \ q \ \text{in} \ F \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \forall t : t \ \text{in} \ F : \{p \land \neg q\} \ t \ \{p \lor q\}$$

If p is true at some point of computation, then it will continue to hold as long as q does not (q may never hold and p continues to hold forever).

- Second Example: " $x \ge k \text{ unless } x > k$ " says that x is non-decreasing.
- $p \ unless \ q \equiv p \land \neg q \ \mathbf{co} \ p \lor q$.



Special Cases of co

- p invariant $\stackrel{\Delta}{=}$ (initially p) and (p stable)



Some Rules of Hoare Logic

$$\{p\} \ s \ \{true\} \qquad \{false\} \ s \ \{q\}$$

$$\frac{\{p\} \ s \ \{false\}}{\neg p}$$

$$\langle \forall j :: \{p_j\} \ s \ \{q_j\} \rangle \qquad \langle \forall j :: \{p_j\} \ s \ \{q_j\} \rangle$$

$$\{\langle \forall j :: p_j \rangle\} \ s \ \{\langle \forall j :: q_j \rangle\} \qquad \{\langle \exists j :: p_j \rangle\} \ s \ \{\langle \exists j :: q_j \rangle\}$$

$$\frac{p \Rightarrow p', \{p'\} \ s \ \{q'\}, \ q' \Rightarrow q}{\{p\} \ s \ \{q\}}$$



Derived Rules (Theorems)

A theorem in the form of

$$\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_2}$$

means that properties in Δ_2 can be deduced from properties in the premise Δ_1 .



Some Derived Rules

- \bullet false **co** p.
- $\bigcirc p$ co true.
- Conjunction and Disjunction

$$p$$
 co q , p' co q'
 $p \lor p'$ co $q \lor q'$
 $p \land p'$ co $q \land q'$

Stable Conjunction and Disjunction

$$p$$
 co q , r stable $p \wedge r$ **co** $q \wedge r$ $p \vee r$ **co** $q \vee r$



The Substitution Axiom

An invariant may be replaced by true, and vice versa, in any property of a program.

Second Example 1: given p co q and J invariant, we conclude $p \wedge J$ co q, p co $q \wedge J$, $p \wedge J$ co $q \wedge J$, etc.

Example 2:

$$\frac{p \text{ unless } q, \neg q \text{ invariant}}{p \text{ stable}}$$



An Elimination Theorem

- Free variables may be eliminated by taking conjunctions or disjunctions.
- Suppose p a property that does not name any program variable other than x.
- Then, p[x := m] does not contain any variable and is a constant (and hence stable).
- Observe that $p = \langle \exists m : p[x := m] : x = m \rangle$.
- An elimination theorem:

x = m co q, where m is free

p does not name m nor any program variable other than x

$$p$$
 co $\langle \exists m :: p[x := m] \land q \rangle$



An Elimination Theorem (cont.)

 $x=m \ \ {
m co} \ \ q,$ where m is free p does not name m nor any program variable other than x

$$p$$
 co $\langle \exists m :: p[x := m] \land q \rangle$

Proof:

$$x = m$$
 co q

, premise

$$p[x := m] \wedge x = m$$
 co $p[x := m] \wedge q$

, stable disjunction with p[x := m]

$$\langle \exists m :: p[x := m] \land x = m \rangle$$
 co $\langle \exists m :: p[x := m] \land q \rangle$

, disjuction over all m

$$p$$
 co $\langle \exists m :: p[x := m] \land q \rangle$, simplifying the lhs



Transient Predicate (under Weak Fairness)

- Under weak fairness, it is sufficient to have a single action falsify a transient predicate.
- $p \text{ transient} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \langle \exists s :: \{p\} \ s \ \{\neg p\} \rangle$
- Some derived rules:

$$(p \text{ stable } \land p \text{ transient}) \equiv \neg p$$

$$\frac{p \text{ transient}}{p \wedge q \text{ transient}}$$



Progress Properties

- $p \ ensures \ q \ \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ (p \land \neg q \ \mathbf{co} \ p \lor q)$ and $p \land \neg q \ \mathrm{transient}$.

 If p holds at any point, it will continue to hold as long as q does not hold; eventually q holds.
- •• " $p \mapsto q$ " specifies that if p holds at any point then q holds or will eventually hold. Inductive definition:

Example: " $x \ge k \mapsto x > k$ " says that x will eventually increase

Some Derived Rules for Progress

(Progress-Safety-Progress, PSP)

$$\frac{p \mapsto q, r \text{ co } s}{(p \land r) \mapsto (q \land s) \lor (\neg r \land s)}$$

(well-founded induction)

$$\langle \forall m :: p \land M = m \mapsto (p \land M < m) \lor q \rangle$$

$$p \mapsto q$$



Asynchronous Composition

- lacktriangle Notation: $F \parallel G$ (the *union* of F and G)
- Semantics:
 - The set of variables is the union of the two sets of variables.
 - * The set of actions is the *union* of the two sets of actions.
 - The composed system is executed as a single system.



UNITY Logic vs. Lamport's 'Hoare Logic'

- ightharpoonup " \mathbf{co} " enjoys the complete rule of consequence.
- Rules of conjunction and disjunction also hold.
- Stronger rule of parallel composition:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} p \mathbf{co} q \text{ in } F, \ p \mathbf{co} \ q \text{ in } G \\ \hline p \mathbf{co} q \text{ in } F \parallel G \end{array}$$

But, "co" is much less convenient for sequential composition.



Union Theorems

- $\frac{p \ ensures \ q \ \text{in} \ F, \ p \ \text{stable} \ \text{in} \ G}{p \ ensures \ q \ \text{in} \ F \parallel G}$
- If any of the following properties holds in F, where p is a local predicate of F, then it also holds in $F \parallel G$ for any G: p unless q, p ensures q, p invariant.

Note: Any invariant used in applying the substitution axiom to deduce a property of one module should be proved an variant in the other module.