## Tactics for Natural Deduction in Coq

	^	V	$\rightarrow$	Т		_
	/\	\/	->	True	False	~
Elimination	$\mathtt{elim}\; H$	$\mathtt{elim}\; H$	apply $H$		$\mathtt{elim}\; H$	$\mathtt{elim}\; H$
	apply H					apply $H$
Introduction	split	left	intro	exact I		intro
		right	intro $H$			intro $H$

	A	3	=	
	forall	exists	=	
Elimination	apply $H$	$\verb"elim" H$	rewrite <- ${\cal H}$	
		$\mathtt{apply}\ H$	$\verb"rewrite"H$	
Introduction	intro	exists $v$	reflexivity	
	$\mathtt{intro}\ H$			

(other tactics: assumption, cut, and assert, explained below)

In the above, H names, or gives name to, an assumption (which is a "higher-order term") in the environment (i.e., the antecedent of the current sequent/goal); same for v (in exists v).  $\neg A$  is written as "A in Coq, which is defined to be A -> False (i.e.,  $A \to \bot$ ).

Always end a tactic with a period "." so that Coq knows the proof command is completed. Say "assumption" when you find the current goal to be an axiom as in the rules of Natural Deduction (appended below). It may occur that you want to apply the  $\rightarrow$ -Elimination rule (see the appendix), while  $A \rightarrow B$  is not immediately available in the set  $\Gamma$  of assumptions. Use "cut A" in this case; enclose A in parentheses if it is a compound formula. A similar tactic is "assert A," which you may find more convenient.

## **Appendix**

## Intuitionistic Natural Deduction

Below are the inference rules in the sequent form for intuitionistic first-order logic with equality.

$$\overline{A_1,\cdots,A_i,\cdots,A_n\vdash A_i}$$
  $(Hyp^i)$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \land B} (\land I) \qquad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \land B}{\Gamma \vdash A} (\land E_1)}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \land B}{\Gamma \vdash B} (\land E_2)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \lor B} (\lor I_1) 
\underline{\Gamma \vdash B}_{\Gamma \vdash A \lor B} (\lor I_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \lor B} (\lor I_2)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \lor B}{\Gamma \vdash C} (\lor E)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma,A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \to B} \, (\to I) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \to B}{\Gamma \vdash B} \, (\to E)$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \top} (\top I)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \bot}{\Gamma \vdash A} (\bot E)$$

Note: the last rule says that, if we can deduce  $\perp$  (or False , representing a contradiction), then we can deduce anything.

Note: with the  $\rightarrow$ -Elimination rule, one can deduce a contradiction (and hence anything) from  $\neg A$  (or  $A \rightarrow \bot$ ) and A.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x A} \ (\forall I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x A}{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]} \ (\forall E)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x A} \; (\exists I) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x A \qquad \Gamma, A[y/x] \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash B} \; (\exists E)$$

Note: in the quantifier rules above, we assume that all substitutions are admissible and y does not occur free in  $\Gamma$  or A.

Let  $t, t_1, t_2$  be arbitrary terms and again assume all substitutions are admissible.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t = t}{\Gamma \vdash t = t} (= I) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 = t_2 \qquad \Gamma \vdash A[t_1/x]}{\Gamma \vdash A[t_2/x]} (= E)$$

Note: the = sign is part of the object language, not a meta symbol.