## Suggested Solutions for Homework Assignment #5

We assume the binding powers of the logical connectives and the entailment symbol decrease in this order:  $\neg$ ,  $\{\forall, \exists\}, \{\land, \lor\}, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \vdash$ .

1. (40 points) Prove that

```
(a) \models \{p\} \ S \ \{q\} \ \text{iff } p \to wlp(S,q) \ \text{and}
(b) \models \{wlp(S,q)\} \ S \ \{q\}
```

which we claimed when proving the completeness of System PD (for the validity of a Hoare triple with partial correctness semantics).

Here, assuming a sufficiently expressive assertion language, wlp(S,q) denotes the assertion p such that  $\llbracket p \rrbracket = wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket)$ , where  $\llbracket p \rrbracket$  is defined as  $\{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \models p\}$  (i.e., the set of states where p holds) and  $wlp(S,\Phi)$  as  $\{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{M}\llbracket S \rrbracket(\sigma) \subseteq \Phi\}$ . Recall that, for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\llbracket S \rrbracket(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Sigma \mid \langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \tau \rangle\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\llbracket S \rrbracket(\bot) = \emptyset$ , and, for  $X \subseteq \Sigma \cup \{\bot\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}\llbracket S \rrbracket(X) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} \mathcal{M}\llbracket S \rrbracket(\sigma)$ .

Solution. Recall that  $\models \{p\}$  S  $\{q\}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{M}[\![S]\!]([\![p]\!]) \subseteq [\![q]\!]$ . Note also that, with the assumed expressive assertion language, we can equate a set of states that may arise in applying  $wlp(S, [\![\cdot]\!])$  to some assertion with some other assertion expressible in the same assertion language.

```
(a)
                  \models \{p\} \ S \ \{q\}
                     { Definition of the validity of a Hoare triple }
                  \mathcal{M}[S]([p]) \subseteq [q]
                     { Definition of \mathcal{M}[S](X) }
          iff
                  \left(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\llbracket p\rrbracket}\mathcal{M}\llbracket S\rrbracket(\sigma)\right)\subseteq\llbracket q\rrbracket
                     \{\ (\bigcup_{x\in X} T(x))\subseteq U \text{ iff for every } x,\,x\in X \text{ implies } T(x)\subseteq U\ \}
          iff
                  for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \in [p] implies \mathcal{M}[S](\sigma) \subseteq [q]
                     { Restatement of \mathcal{M}[S](\sigma) \subseteq [q] }
                  for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \in \llbracket p \rrbracket implies \sigma \in \{ \sigma \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{M} \llbracket S \rrbracket (\sigma) \subseteq \llbracket q \rrbracket \}
                     { Definition of \subseteq }
          iff
                   \llbracket p \rrbracket \subseteq \{ \sigma \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{M} \llbracket S \rrbracket (\sigma) \subseteq \llbracket q \rrbracket \}
          iff
                    { Definition of wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket) }
                  \llbracket p \rrbracket \subseteq wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket)
                    { Definitions of [p] and wlp(S,q) }
                  \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \models p\} \subseteq \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \models wlp(S,q)\}
                     { Definition of \subseteq }
          iff
                  for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \models p implies \sigma \models wlp(S, q)
          iff
                     { Definition of \rightarrow }
                  for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \models p \to wlp(S, q)
                     { Validity rewritten in a conventional simpler way }
                  p \to wlp(S,q)
(b)
```

```
\models \{wlp(S,q)\}\ S\ \{q\}
iff
           { Definitions of wlp(S,q) and the validity of a Hoare triple }
        \mathcal{M}[S](wlp(S,[q])) \subseteq [q]
         { Definition of \mathcal{M}[S](X) }
iff
        \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket)} \mathcal{M} \llbracket S \rrbracket (\sigma)\right) \subseteq \llbracket q \rrbracket
iff
           \{ (\bigcup_{x \in X} T(x)) \subseteq U \text{ iff for every } x, x \in X \text{ implies } T(x) \subseteq U \}
        for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \in wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket) implies \mathcal{M} \llbracket S \rrbracket (\sigma) \subseteq \llbracket q \rrbracket
         { Restatement of \mathcal{M}[S](\sigma) \subseteq [q] }
iff
        for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \in wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket) implies \sigma \in \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{M} \llbracket S \rrbracket (\sigma) \subseteq \llbracket q \rrbracket \}
iff
           { Definition of wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket) }
        for every \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \in wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket) implies \sigma \in wlp(S, \llbracket q \rrbracket)
iff
            \{A \rightarrow A \text{ iff } true \}
        true
```

- 2. (40 points) The following fundamental properties are usually taken as axioms for the predicate transformer wp (weakest precondition):
  - Law of the Excluded Miracle:  $wp(S, false) \equiv false$ .
  - Distributivity of Conjunction:  $wp(S, Q_1) \wedge wp(S, Q_2) \equiv wp(S, Q_1 \wedge Q_2)$ .
  - Distributivity of Disjunction for deterministic S:  $wp(S, Q_1) \vee wp(S, Q_2) \equiv wp(S, Q_1 \vee Q_2)$ .

From the axioms (plus the usual logical and algebraic laws), derive the following properties of wp (Hint: not every axiom is useful):

(a) Law of Monotonicity: if  $Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_2$ , then  $wp(S, Q_1) \Rightarrow wp(S, Q_2)$ .

Solution.

$$wp(S, Q_1)$$

$$\equiv \{Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_2, \text{ i.e., } Q_1 \equiv Q_1 \land Q_2 \}$$

$$wp(S, Q_1 \land Q_2)$$

$$\equiv \{\text{Distributivity of Conjunction }\}$$

$$wp(S, Q_1) \land wp(S, Q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \{A \land B \rightarrow B \}$$

$$wp(S, Q_2)$$

(b) **Distributivity of Disjunction** (for any command):  $wp(S, Q_1) \vee wp(S, Q_2) \Rightarrow wp(S, Q_1 \vee Q_2)$ .

Solution.

$$wp(S, Q_1) \vee wp(S, Q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \{Q_1 \Rightarrow Q_1 \vee Q_2, Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_1 \vee Q_2, \text{ Monotonicity of } wp \}$$

$$wp(S, Q_1 \vee Q_2) \vee wp(S, Q_1 \vee Q_2)$$

$$\equiv \{A \vee A \equiv A\}$$

$$wp(S, Q_1 \vee Q_2)$$

3. (20 points) Prove that  $\vdash \{a > b\} \max(a, b, c) \{c = a\}$ , given the following declaration:

```
proc \max(\text{in } x; \text{ in } y; \text{ out } z); if x < y \text{ then}
```

$$z := y$$
 else  $z := x$ ;

Solution.

$$\frac{\text{pred. calculus} + \text{algebra}}{x > y \land x < y \rightarrow y = x} \qquad \frac{\{y = x\} \ z := y \ \{z = x\}}{\{z = x\}} \text{ (assignment)}}{\{\text{stren. pre.}\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x > y \land x < y\} \ z := y \ \{z = x\}}{\{x > y\} \ \text{if} \ x < y \ \text{then} \ z := y \ \text{else} \ z := x \ \{z = x\}}{\{a > b\} \ \text{max}(a, b, c)} \ \{c = a\}} \text{ (procedure)}$$

 $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{\text{pred. calculus + algebra}}{x>y \land \neg(x < y) \rightarrow x = x} \quad \frac{\{x=x\} \ z := x \ \{z=x\}}{\{x>y \land \neg(x < y)\} \ z := x \ \{z=x\}} \quad \text{(assignment)}}{\{x>y \land \neg(x < y)\} \ z := x \ \{z=x\}}$$