Suggested Solutions for Homework Assignment #1

We assume the binding powers of the logical connectives and the entailment symbol decrease in this order: \neg , $\{\land, \lor\}, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \vdash$.

1. (30 points) Prove that every propositional formula has an equivalent formula in the conjunctive normal form and also an equivalent formula in the disjunctive normal form. (Hint: by induction on the structure of a formula, dealing with both cases simultaneously)

Solution. Let us first review some preliminaries. A (non-empty) clause is a disjunction of one or more literals such as $p \lor \neg q \lor r$, while a (non-empty) term/product is a conjunction of one or more literals such as $\neg p \land q \land \neg r$. (Note: the name "term" as defined here is not commonly used in propositional logic. However, it is adequate in light of the notion of a term in algebraic expressions. An alternative name is "product".) So, a formula is in conjunctive normal form (CNF) if it is a conjunction of one or more clauses. A formula is in disjunctive normal form (DNF) if it is a disjunction of one or more terms. A clause by itself is in CNF (a one-clause CNF) and, when seen as a disjunction of one-literal terms, is also in DNF. Similarly, for a term. A single literal is a special case of a clause and also of a term.

The complement of a clause (term), after the negation is pushed to the literal level, becomes a term (clause), e.g., $\neg(p \lor \neg q \lor r) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \land q \land \neg r$. Taking this one level up, the complement of a formula in CNF (DNF), after the negation is pushed to the literal level, becomes a formula in DNF (CNF), e.g., $\neg((p \lor \neg q) \land (q \lor r)) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \land q) \lor (\neg q \land \neg r)$.

Now we prove the problem statement by induction on the structure of a given formula φ . Base case (φ is just a propositional symbol): a propositional symbol can be seen as a single-literal clause or term and so is already in CNF and in DNF.

Inductive step: there are three cases.

- (a) $\varphi = \neg \psi$: let ψ^C be a formula equivalent to ψ in CNF and ψ^D an equivalent formula in DNF (guaranteed to exist by the induction hypothesis). Pushing the negation at the front of $\neg \psi^C$ ($\neg \psi^D$) to the literal level, we get a formula equivalent to φ in DNF (CNF).
- (b) $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$: let φ_1^C (φ_2^C) be a formula equivalent to φ_1 (φ_2) in CNF and φ_1^D (φ_2^D) an equivalent formula in DNF. The formula $\varphi_1^C \wedge \varphi_2^C$ is equivalent to φ and readily in CNF.

To obtain a formula equivalent to φ in DNF, suppose $\varphi_1^D = t_1 \vee t_2 \vee \cdots \vee t_l$ and $\varphi_2^D = u_1 \vee u_2 \vee \cdots \vee u_m$, where t_i 's and u_j 's are terms. Then, by repeatedly distributing the top-level \wedge in $\varphi_1^D \wedge \varphi_2^D$ to the term level, we obtain a formula $\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq m} (t_i \wedge u_j)$ in DNF that is equivalent to φ .

- (c) $\varphi = \varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2$: analogous to the case of $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$.
- 2. (40 points) Prove, using *Natural Deduction* (in the sequent form), the validity of the following sequents:

(a) $\vdash (p \to (q \to r)) \to (p \land q \to r)$ Solution.

$$\frac{\alpha}{\frac{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash p \land q}{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash q}} \overset{(Hyp)}{\underset{(\land E_2)}{}}} \frac{(AE_2)}{\frac{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash r}{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash r}} \overset{(\to E)}{\underset{(\to I)}{}}} \frac{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash r}{\underset{(\to I)}{}} \overset{(\to I)}{\underset{(\to I)}{}}}$$

 α :

$$\frac{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash p \to (q \to r)}{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash p \land q} \xrightarrow{(Hyp)} \frac{p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash p \land q}{(\land E_1)} \xrightarrow{(\land E_1)} p \to (q \to r), p \land q \vdash p} \xrightarrow{(\to E)}$$

(b) $\vdash (p \land q \to r) \to (p \to (q \to r))$ Solution.

$$\frac{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash p \land q \rightarrow r}{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash p} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{\longrightarrow} \frac{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash q}{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash p \land q} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{\longrightarrow} \frac{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash p \land q}{(\land I)}$$

$$\frac{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash r}{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash r} \stackrel{(\rightarrow I)}{(\rightarrow I)}$$

$$\frac{p \land q \rightarrow r, p, q \vdash r}{p \land q \rightarrow r, p \vdash q \rightarrow r} \stackrel{(\rightarrow I)}{(\rightarrow I)}$$

$$\vdash (p \land q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r))$$

- 3. (30 points) Prove, using Natural Deduction (in the sequent form), the validity of the following sequents:
 - (a) $\vdash p \lor \neg p$ Solution.

$$\frac{\frac{\alpha}{\neg(p\vee\neg p)\vdash\neg p}}{\neg(p\vee\neg p)\vdash p\vee\neg p}^{(\neg I)} \frac{\neg(p\vee\neg p)\vdash\neg(p\vee\neg p)}{\neg(p\vee\neg p)\vdash\neg(p\vee\neg p)}^{(Hyp)} \frac{\neg(p\vee\neg p)\vdash(p\vee\neg p)\wedge\neg(p\vee\neg p)}{\neg(p\vee\neg p)}^{(\neg I)} \frac{\vdash\neg\neg(p\vee\neg p)}{\vdash p\vee\neg p}^{(\neg \neg E)}$$

 α :

$$\frac{\frac{\neg (p \lor \neg p), p \vdash p}{\neg (p \lor \neg p), p \vdash p \lor \neg p} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{(\lor I_1)}}{\neg (p \lor \neg p), p \vdash p \lor \neg p} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{\neg (p \lor \neg p), p \vdash \neg (p \lor \neg p)} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{(\land I)}$$

(b) $\vdash ((p \to q) \to p) \to p$ Solution.

$$\frac{\overline{(p \to q) \to p, \neg p \vdash (p \to q) \to p}}{\underline{(p \to q) \to p, \neg p \vdash p}} \xrightarrow{(Hyp)} \underline{\alpha}_{(\to E)} \xrightarrow{(p \to q) \to p, \neg p \vdash p} \xrightarrow{(Hyp)} \underline{\alpha}_{(\land I)}$$

$$\frac{(p \to q) \to p, \neg p \vdash p \land \neg p}{\underline{(p \to q) \to p \vdash \neg \neg p}_{(\neg \neg E)}} \xrightarrow{(\neg \neg E)} \underline{(p \to q) \to p \vdash p}_{(\to I)}$$

 α :

$$\frac{ (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p, \neg p, p, \neg q \vdash p}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p, \neg p, p, \neg q \vdash \neg p} \stackrel{(Hyp)}{(\neg E)} \\ \frac{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p, \neg p, p \vdash q}{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p, \neg p \vdash p \rightarrow q} \stackrel{(\rightarrow I)}{(\rightarrow I)}$$