

Theory of Computing

Introduction and Preliminaries (Based on [Sipser 2006, 2013])

Yih-Kuen Tsay

Department of Information Management National Taiwan University

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 1 / 40

What It Is



😚 The central question:

What are the fundamental capabilities and limitations of computers?

😚 Three main areas:

- Automata Theory
- 🌻 Computability Theory
- 🌻 Complexity Theory

A 12 N A 12 N

Complexity Theory



- Some problems are easy and some hard.
 For example, sorting is easy and scheduling is hard.
- The central question of complexity theory: What makes some problems computationally hard and others easy?
- We don't have the answer to it.
- However, researchers have found a scheme for classifying problems according to their computational difficulty.
- One practical application: cryptography/security.

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Dealing with Computationally Hard Problems



Options for dealing with a computationally hard problem:

- Try to simplify it (the hard part of the problem might be unnecessary).
- Settle for an approximate solution.
- Find a solution that usually runs fast.
- Consider alternative types of computation (such as randomized computation).

Computability Theory



- Alan Turing, among other mathematicians, discovered in the 1930s that certain basic problems cannot be solved by computers.
- One example is the problem of determining whether a mathematical statement is true or false.
- Theoretical models of computers developed at that time eventually lead to the construction of actual computers.
- The theories of computability and complexity are closely related.
- Complexity theory seeks to classify problems as easy ones and hard ones, while in computability theory the classification is by whether the problem is solvable or not.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 5 / 40

Automata Theory



- The theories of computability and complexity require a precise, formal definition of a *computer*.
- Automata theory deals with the definitions and properties of mathematical models of computation.
- 😚 Two basic and practically useful models:
 - *Finite-state*, or simply *finite*, *automaton*
 - Context-free grammar (pushdown automaton)

4 AR & 4 E & 4 E &





- Set, element (member), subset, proper subset
- 😚 Multiset
- Description of a set
- Solution For the empty set (∅)
- 😚 Finite set, infinite set
- 📀 Union, intersection, complement
- 😚 Power set
- 😚 Venn diagram

4 1 1 1 4 1 1






FIGURE 0.1 Venn diagram for the set of English words starting with "t"

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 8 / 40

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6






FIGURE 0.2 Venn diagram for the set of English words ending with "z"

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 9 / 40

(日) (同) (三) (三)






FIGURE 0.3 Overlapping circles indicate common elements

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 10 / 40

3

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト






FIGURE 0.4 Diagrams for (a) $A \cup B$ and (b) $A \cap B$

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 11 / 40

3

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)

Sequences and Tuples



- A sequence of objects is a list of these objects in some order. Order is essential and repetition is also allowed.
- Finite sequences are often called *tuples*. A sequence with k elements is a k-tuple; a 2-tuple is also called a *pair*.
- The *Cartesian product*, or cross product, of A and B, written as $A \times B$, is the set of all pairs (x, y) such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.
- Cartesian products generalize to k sets, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k , written as $A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_k$. A^k is a shorthand for $A \times A \times \ldots \times A$ (k times).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Functions



- A function sets up an input-output relationship, where the same input always produces the same output.
- If f is a function whose output is b when the input is a, we write f(a) = b.
- A function is also called a mapping; if f(a) = b, we say that f maps a to b.

Functions (cont.)



- The set of possible inputs to a function is called its *domain*; the outputs come from a set called its *range*.
- A function is onto if it uses all the elements of the range (it is one-to-one if ...).
- If the notation f : D → R says that f is a function with domain D and range R.
- More notions and terms: k-ary function, unary function, binary function, infix notation, prefix notation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日



- A predicate, or property, is a function whose range is {TRUE,FALSE}.
- A predicate whose domain is a set of k-tuples A × ... × A is called a (k-ary) relation on A.
- A 2-ary relation is also called a binary relation.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 15 / 40

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Equivalence Relations



- An equivalence relation is a special type of binary relation that captures the notion of two objects being equal in some sense.
- A binary relation R on A is an equivalence relation if
 - 1. *R* is *reflexive* (for every *x* in *A*, *xRx*),
 - 2. *R* is *symmetric* (for every *x* and *y* in *A*, *xRy* if and only if *yRx*), and
 - 3. *R* is *transitive* (for every *x*, *y*, and *z* in *A*, *xRy* and *yRz* implies *xRz*).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Graphs




- 📀 Undirected graph, node (vertex), edge (link), degree
- Solution of a graph: G = (V, E)
- 😚 Labeled graph
- 📀 Subgraph, induced subgraph
- 😚 Path, simple path, cycle, simple cycle
- 😚 Connected graph
- 📀 Tree, root, leaf
- 📀 Directed graph, outdegree, indegree
- 📀 Strongly connected graph


Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

· ◆ 큔 ▶ ◆ 볼 ▶ ◆ 볼 ▶ ○ ♀ ○ Theory of Computing 2020 17 / 40







(b)

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

FIGURE **0.12** Examples of graphs

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 18 / 40






FIGURE **0.13** Cheapest nonstop air fares between various cities

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 19 / 40

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)






FIGURE 0.14 Graph *G* (shown darker) is a subgraph of *H*

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 20 / 40

3

(日) (同) (日) (日) (日)






FIGURE 0.15

(a) A path in a graph, (b) a cycle in a graph, and (c) a tree

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 21 / 40

3

A (10) A (10)






FIGURE **0.16** A directed graph

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 22 / 40

(日) (周) (三) (三)






FIGURE 0.18 The graph of the relation *beats*

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 23 / 40

3

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Strings and Languages



- An alphabet is any finite set of symbols.
- A string over an alphabet is a finite sequence of symbols from that alphabet.
- The *length* of a string w, written as |w|, is the number of symbols that w contains.
- \clubsuit The string of length 0 is called the *empty string*, written as ε .
- The concatenation of x and y, written as xy, is the string obtained from appending y to the end of x.
- A language is a set of strings.
- More notions and terms: reverse, substring, lexicographic ordering.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 24 / 40

Boolean Logic



- Boolean logic is a mathematical system built around the two Boolean values TRUE (1) and FALSE (0).
- Boolean values can be manipulated with Boolean operations: negation or NOT (¬), conjunction or AND (∧), disjunction or OR (∨).

$0 \wedge 0 \triangleq 0$	$0 \lor 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$	$ eg 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$
$0 \wedge 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$	$0 \lor 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$ eg 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$
$1 \wedge 0 riangleq 0$	$1 \lor 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	
$1 \wedge 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$1 \lor 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	

Unknown Boolean values are represented symbolically by Boolean variables or propositions, e.g., P, Q, etc.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Boolean Logic (cont.)



Additional Boolean operations: exclusive or or XOR (\oplus) , equality/equivalence (\leftrightarrow or \equiv), implication (\rightarrow).

$0 \oplus 0 \triangleq 0$	$0 \leftrightarrow 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$0 ightarrow 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$
$0\oplus 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$0\leftrightarrow 1\stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$	$0 ightarrow 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$
$1\oplus 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$1 \leftrightarrow 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$	$1 ightarrow 0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$
$1\oplus 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$	$1\leftrightarrow 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$	$1 ightarrow 1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1$

All in terms of conjunction and negation:

$$P \lor Q \equiv \neg(\neg P \land \neg Q) P \to Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \to Q) \land (Q \to P) P \oplus Q \equiv \neg(P \leftrightarrow Q)$$

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 26 / 40

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Logical Equivalences and Laws



- Two logical expressions/formulae are equivalent if each of them implies the other, i.e., they have the same truth value.
- Fquivalence plays a role analogous to equality in algebra.
- Some laws of Boolean logic:

 - (Distributive) $P \lor (Q \land R) \equiv (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R)$
 - ${\overset{ heta}{
 ightarrow}}$ (De Morgan's) $eg(P ee Q) \equiv
 eg P \land
 eg Q$
 - (De Morgan's) $\neg (P \land Q) \equiv \neg P \lor \neg Q$

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Definitions, Theorems, and Proofs



- Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.
 Precision is essential to any definition.
- After we have defined various objects and notions, we usually make *mathematical statements* about them. Again, the statements must be precise.
- A proof is a convincing logical argument that a statement is true. The only way to determine the truth or falsity of a mathematical statement is with a mathematical proof.
- A theorem is a mathematical statement proven true. Lemmas are proven statements for assisting the proof of another more significant statement.
- Corollaries are statements seen to follow easily from other proven ones.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 28 / 40

Finding Proofs



- Find proofs isn't always easy; no one has a recipe for it.
- Below are some helpful general strategies:
 - 1. Carefully read the statement you want to prove.
 - 2. Rewrite the statement in your own words.
 - 3. Break it down and consider each part separately. For example, $P \iff Q$ consists of two parts: $P \rightarrow Q$ (the forward direction) and $Q \rightarrow P$ (the reverse direction).
 - 4. Try to get an intuitive feeling of why it should be true.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 29 / 40

Tips for Producing a Proof



- A well-written proof is a sequence of statements, wherein each one follows by simple reasoning from previous statements in the sequence.
- Tips for producing a proof:
 - Be patient. Finding proofs takes time.
 - Come back to it. Look over the statement, think about it, leave it, and then return some time later.
 - Be neat. Use simple, clear text and/or pictures; make it easy for others to understand.
 - Be concise. Emphasize high-level ideas, but be sure to include enough details of reasoning.

An Example Proof



Theorem

For any two sets A and B, $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$.

Proof. We show that every element of $\overline{A \cup B}$ is also an element of $\overline{A \cap B}$ and vice versa.

Forward
$$(x \in \overline{A \cup B} \to x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B})$$
:
 $x \in \overline{A \cup B}$, def. of complement
 $\to x \notin A \cup B$, def. of union
 $\to x \in \overline{A}$ and $x \notin B$, def. of union
 $\to x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$, def. of intersection

Reverse $(x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B} \to x \in \overline{A \cup B})$: ...

Another Example Proof



Theorem

In any graph G, the sum of the degrees of the nodes of G is an even number.


Proof.

- Every edge in *G* connects two nodes, contributing 1 to the degree of each.
- Therefore, each edge contributes 2 to the sum of the degrees of all the nodes.
- If G has e edges, then the sum of the degrees of the nodes of G is 2e, which is even.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Another Example Proof (cont.)






Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Another Example Proof (cont.)





Every time an edge is added, the sum increases by 2.

Source: [Sipser 2006]

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 34 / 40

Types of Proof



😚 Proof by construction:

prove that a particular type of object exists, by showing how to construct the object.

Proof by contradiction:

prove a statement by first assuming that the statement is false and then showing that the assumption leads to an obviously false consequence, called a contradiction.

😚 Proof by induction:

prove that all elements of an infinite set have a specified property, by exploiting the inductive structure of the set.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 35 / 40

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Proof by Construction



Theorem

For each even number n greater than 2, there exists a 3-regular graph with n nodes.

Proof. Construct a graph G = (V, E) with $n (= 2k \ge 2)$ nodes as follows.

Let V be $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and E be defined as

$$E = \{\{i, i+1\} \mid \text{for } 0 \le i \le n-2\} \cup \\ \{\{n-1, 0\}\} \cup \\ \{\{i, i+n/2\} \mid \text{for } 0 \le i \le n/2 - 1\}.$$

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Proof by Contradiction



Theorem

 $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof. Assume toward a contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational, i.e., $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$ for some integers *m* and *n*, which *cannot both be even*.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n} & , \mbox{ from the assumption} \\ n\sqrt{2} = m & , \mbox{ multipl. both sides by } n \\ 2n^2 = m^2 & , \mbox{ square both sides} \\ m \mbox{ is even} & , \mbox{ } m^2 \mbox{ is even} \\ 2n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 & , \mbox{ from the above two} \\ n^2 = 2k^2 & , \mbox{ divide both sides by } 2 \\ n \mbox{ is even} & , \mbox{ } n^2 \mbox{ is even} \end{array}$

Now both m and n are even, a contradiction.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 37 / 40

Example: Home Mortgages



P: the *principle* (amount of the original loan).

- *I*: the yearly *interest rate*.
- Y: the monthly payment.
- *M*: the *monthly* multiplier = 1 + I/12.
- P_t : the amount of loan outstanding after the *t*-th month; $P_0 = P$ and $P_{k+1} = P_k M Y$.

Theorem

For each $t \geq 0$,

$$P_t = PM^t - Y(\frac{M^t - 1}{M - 1}).$$

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Proof by Induction



Theorem

For each $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathsf{P}_t = \mathsf{P}\mathsf{M}^t - \mathsf{Y}(rac{\mathsf{M}^t - 1}{\mathsf{M} - 1}).$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on *t*.

• Basis: When
$$t = 0$$
, $PM^0 - Y(\frac{M^0 - 1}{M - 1}) = P = P_0$.

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 39 / 40

- 3

Proof by Induction (cont.)



• Induction step: When t = k + 1 $(k \ge 0)$,

$$P_{k+1}$$

$$= \{ \text{definition of } P_t \}$$

$$P_k M - Y$$

$$= \{ \text{the induction hypothesis} \}$$

$$(PM^k - Y(\frac{M^k - 1}{M - 1}))M - Y$$

$$= \{ \text{distribute } M \text{ and rewrite } Y \}$$

$$PM^{k+1} - Y(\frac{M^{k+1} - M}{M - 1}) - Y(\frac{M - 1}{M - 1})$$

$$= \{ \text{combine the last two terms} \}$$

$$PM^{k+1} - Y(\frac{M^{k+1} - 1}{M - 1})$$

Yih-Kuen Tsay (IM.NTU)

Introduction and Preliminaries

Theory of Computing 2020 40 / 40